

# Dakota County

# Demographic Change Report

## Housing & Households

## 2004 to 2007

Prepared by Excensus LLC  
For ULI Minnesota/Regional Council of Mayors  
Housing Initiative Program

Project Funding Provided In Part by the Family Housing Fund  
and the Metropolitan Council

September 11, 2009

©2009 Excensus LLC, all rights reserved

## Introduction

Dakota County enjoys a diverse mix of housing that sets it apart from other counties in the metro area. Strong turnover and household retention rates means that Dakota County residents have access to a wide range of housing options. Recent trends show a growing proportion of the County's householders between the ages of 55 and 74. The challenge is to attract and retain a larger share of younger households that can help ensure future stability in local school enrollment, community services, and commercial area revenues. Tracking data is showing that there is a significant share of households looking for more housing and price options. Dakota County has been a leader in the development of multifamily, owner-occupied housing and households seem to be responding to these choices.

The information in this report is based on household-level data developed by Excensus LLC covering more than 95 percent of the 7-County Metro Area's households. In addition, tracking data over a period of four years (2004 to 2007) provides a detailed picture of how the county is changing demographically and the role that housing plays in retaining existing households and attracting new ones.

## Key Findings

- Concentration of Householder Ages – in 2007, half of all Dakota County's householders were between the ages of 35 and 54 and just over a quarter of the county's householders were within five years (+ or -) of the median age (48 years). This concentration was above average for the 7-county area, but well below the level seen in Carver, Scott and Washington Counties. A low age concentration indicator means that the County is less susceptible to rapid shifts in resident demographics due to aging.
- Access to Housing – Home ownership was above average in each age category. Dakota County has benefitted from more affordable, owner-occupied housing development (particularly townhomes) which in 2007 accounted for a quarter of the owned housing in the county. About 30 percent of the county's single family detached (SFD) housing in 2007 came in under the Metropolitan Council's affordability threshold of \$207,800. This was comparable to the 7-county average. Just under a quarter (23.4%) of the County's housing units were rental. Apartments account for 69 percent of these units. This transitional housing is critical for attracting and retaining a diverse household base.
- Homeowner Turnover and Retention – Between 2004 and 2007, Dakota County's homeowners turned over at a rate of 4.4 percent per year. The rate was 9.2 percent among homeowners under the age of 35. These were among the highest turnover levels in the metro area outside of Minneapolis and St. Paul. A moderate to high turnover rate here means that there are more housing options opening up for incoming residents. Of those residents that moved from a home in

Dakota County, two-thirds were able to find another home in the county indicating the availability of “move-up housing” and a strong desire to remain in the same area.

- Incoming Homeowners – between 2004 and 2007, Dakota County captured an above average share of older homeowner (55 and 74) moves. The majority of these moves involved existing Dakota County homeowners.
- Migration Patterns – Forty-four percent of the households that moved into Dakota County between 2004 and 2007 originated in Hennepin County. Dakota County experienced a net inflow of residents from Hennepin County (+1,303) and Ramsey County (+994) during this period and a net outflow to Scott County (-574).
- Housing Choices – Dakota County households were not wed to one type of housing. Tracking data shows significant movement between owner and rental housing and between single family detached and attached multifamily housing. Moves to owned, single family attached housing (townhomes, condos, mobile homes, and duplex/triplexes) accounted for 42 percent of all owner-occupied move-ins. More than half (54%) of these were by households previously living in single family detached housing. The average tax value of affected owner-occupied, single family attached homes was \$208,000 compared to \$312,000 for the average single family detached home.

## Table of Contents

A. Households and Housing in 2007 . . . . .	5
• Householder Ages	
• Housing Diversity and Home Ownership	
• Affordability	
B. Turnover and Retention (2004 to 2007) . . . . .	10
C. Origins and Destinations . . . . .	14
D. Aging in Place . . . . .	16
E. Housing Choices . . . . .	18
F. Income Trends . . . . .	29
G. Data Description and Definition of Terms . . . . .	21
H. City Summary Tables . . . . .	23

## List of Figures and Tables

Figure 1: Householder Age Bar Chart (2007)

Table 1: Twin Cities Householder Age Comparisons (2007)

Figure 2: Distribution of Housing Types by Householder Age

Figure 3: Housing Ownership and Usage (2007)

Table 2: Twin Cities Homeownership Comparisons (2007)

Figure 4: Owner-Occupied Home Values and Householder Ages (2007)

Table 3: Twin Cities Owner-Occupied Homes Valued Under \$207,800 (2007)

Figure 5: Housing Turnover by Householder Age and Ownership Status (2004 to 2007)

Table 4: Twin Cities Homeowner Turnover Rates (2004-2007)

Table 5: Twin Cities Rental Turnover Rates (2004-2007)

Figure 6: Move-in and Existing Homeowners by Age (2007)

Table 6: Twin Cities Area Incoming Homeowner Comparisons (2004 to 2007)

Figure 7: Household Retention by Householder Age (2004 to 2007)

Table 7: Twin Cities Households That Moved Within the Same County (2004-2007)

Figure 8: Origin Map of Incoming Households (2004 to 2007)

Table 8: Origin and Destination of Moves by Householder Age (2004-2007)

Figure 9: Householders That Did Not Move Between 2004 and 2007

Table 9: Twin Cities Area Householders That Did Not Move (2004 to 2007)

Table 10: Housing Choices and Decisions by Householder Age (2004 to 2007)

Figure 10: Median Income by Census Block Group (2003 Tax Filings - Federal Adjusted Gross Income)

Figure 11: Median Income by Census Block Group (2006 Tax Filings - Federal Adjusted Gross Income)

# A. Households and Housing in 2007

## Householder Ages

Attracting and retaining a diverse mix of households is important to any community. Diversity is important for community balance, stability and prosperity. Change has become the norm for every county in the Twin Cities Area. One way to assess their diversity is to look at the distribution of households by householder age. A balanced community will have a substantial share of residents in each lifecycle age category.

Figure 1 shows the distribution of households by householder age in Dakota County. This analysis is based on a total of 147,819 county households (2007). This count aligns closely with the Metropolitan Council’s household estimate of 150,295 households for the same year. The County’s householders are displayed by age in two-year increments with younger householders under the age of 25 at the left and those aged 85 or more on the right. The scale on the left shows the number of households in each age category. The chart also features four key lifecycle breaks: under age 35, age 35 to 54, age 55 to 74, and age 75 or more.

In 2007, half (49%) of the County’s householders were between the ages of 35 and 54. This group and the category of households ages 55 to 74 were both above average for the 7-county Twin Cities Metro Area.

**Figure 1: Householder Age Bar Chart (2007)**




Table 1 compares Dakota County’s householder ages with the other counties in the 7-county Twin Cities area. Overall, Dakota County had a greater share of households age 35 to 74. This share was comparable to that of Suburban Hennepin County, Suburban Ramsey County, and Washington County. Dakota County had substantially fewer younger households (under age 35) than were found in Minneapolis, St. Paul, and Scott County.

In addition, as a measure of balance, the table shows the concentration of householder ages that fall within 5 years (+ and -) of each county’s median age. A high concentration of households at the center often reflects a history of rapid growth and/or development built on a limited base of housing types and values. A higher concentration at the middle can also indicate on ongoing risk of rapid community aging should this segment choose to remain in place over an extended period of time. Here, Dakota County falls in the middle – more concentrated than Hennepin and Ramsey County, but less concentrated than Carver and Scott Counties.

**Table 1: Twin Cities Householder Age Comparisons (2007)**


<b>Twin Cities Area Householder Age Comparisons (2007)</b>							
	Total Households	Median HH Age	Pct. HHs +/- 5 yrs	Distribution by Householder Age (2007)			
				Under 35	35 to 54	55 to 74	75+
<b>7-County Metro Area</b>	<b>1,066,320</b>	<b>47</b>	<b>24.6%</b>	<b>20.7%</b>	<b>46.2%</b>	<b>26.1%</b>	<b>7.0%</b>
<b>Anoka County</b>	<b>117,945</b>	<b>47</b>	<b>26.8%</b>	<b>18.6%</b>	<b>49.4%</b>	<b>26.6%</b>	<b>5.4%</b>
<b>Carver County</b>	<b>30,059</b>	<b>46</b>	<b>30.2%</b>	<b>17.4%</b>	<b>54.6%</b>	<b>22.4%</b>	<b>5.6%</b>
<b>Dakota County</b>	<b>147,819</b>	<b>48</b>	<b>26.9%</b>	<b>18.2%</b>	<b>49.0%</b>	<b>27.6%</b>	<b>5.2%</b>
<b>Hennepin County</b>	<b>452,789</b>	<b>47</b>	<b>23.2%</b>	<b>22.3%</b>	<b>44.4%</b>	<b>25.6%</b>	<b>7.7%</b>
<i>Suburban Hennepin County</i>	306,128	49	24.5%	18.2%	45.0%	27.8%	9.0%
<i>City of Minneapolis</i>	146,661	43	21.0%	31.0%	43.1%	20.9%	5.0%
<b>Ramsey County</b>	<b>190,581</b>	<b>48</b>	<b>22.4%</b>	<b>22.3%</b>	<b>42.2%</b>	<b>26.8%</b>	<b>8.7%</b>
<i>Suburban Ramsey County</i>	90,102	51	23.6%	16.9%	41.0%	31.0%	11.1%
<i>City of St. Paul</i>	100,479	45	21.7%	27.1%	43.3%	23.0%	6.6%
<b>Scott County</b>	<b>43,352</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>28.7%</b>	<b>21.6%</b>	<b>53.1%</b>	<b>21.3%</b>	<b>4.0%</b>
<b>Washington County</b>	<b>83,775</b>	<b>48</b>	<b>27.1%</b>	<b>16.1%</b>	<b>49.7%</b>	<b>28.1%</b>	<b>6.1%</b>

Source: Excensus LLC, Twin Cities Household Profile (2007)


### **Housing Diversity and Home Ownership**

There is a relationship between the mix of housing in a community and the demographic diversity of its residents. Figure 2 provides a breakout of housing types for Dakota County in 2007. While 60 percent of Dakota County’s dwelling units were single family detached (SFD), there was strong usage of townhomes (16%) and apartment units (16%) serving all four age segments.

**Figure 2: Distribution of Housing Types by Householder Age**



**Figure 3: Housing Ownership and Usage (2007)**



Dakota County has had success in creating a diverse housing market. [Figure 3](#) (above) shows housing usage by householder age in Dakota County. The chart breaks out owner and renter-occupied housing using a modified version of the householder age chart. Homeowner counts are displayed above the line in blue while renters are shown below the line in red. Owned townhomes (in dark blue) accounted for a substantial portion of the county’s owner-occupied housing and their appeal crossed all age categories.

**Table 2: Twin Cities Homeownership Comparisons (2007)**

Twin Cities Homeownership Comparisons (2007)						
	Home Ownership (2007)					Pct. Of Owned Not SFD
	All HHs	Under 35	35 to 54	55 to 74	75+	
<b>7-County Metro Area</b>	<b>69.1%</b>	<b>45.2%</b>	<b>74.3%</b>	<b>78.7%</b>	<b>69.0%</b>	<b>12.8%</b>
<b>Anoka County</b>	<b>79.4%</b>	<b>65.5%</b>	<b>82.2%</b>	<b>84.5%</b>	<b>76.6%</b>	<b>4.6%</b>
<b>Carver County</b>	<b>83.4%</b>	<b>74.5%</b>	<b>86.7%</b>	<b>84.9%</b>	<b>72.8%</b>	<b>0.2%</b>
<b>Dakota County</b>	<b>76.6%</b>	<b>53.3%</b>	<b>80.6%</b>	<b>85.1%</b>	<b>74.5%</b>	<b>24.8%</b>
<b>Hennepin County</b>	<b>62.0%</b>	<b>35.7%</b>	<b>68.4%</b>	<b>73.7%</b>	<b>63.1%</b>	<b>10.5%</b>
<i>Suburban Hennepin County</i>	67.8%	41.9%	73.8%	77.1%	61.6%	10.0%
<i>City of Minneapolis</i>	50.0%	28.0%	56.6%	64.3%	68.8%	11.8%
<b>Ramsey County</b>	<b>64.5%</b>	<b>39.1%</b>	<b>67.8%</b>	<b>77.1%</b>	<b>74.7%</b>	<b>16.5%</b>
<i>Suburban Ramsey County</i>	71.7%	42.8%	74.4%	82.6%	75.6%	18.7%
<i>City of St. Paul</i>	58.1%	37.1%	62.3%	70.4%	73.3%	14.1%
<b>Scott County</b>	<b>84.5%</b>	<b>77.3%</b>	<b>87.6%</b>	<b>85.4%</b>	<b>76.7%</b>	<b>17.0%</b>
<b>Washington County</b>	<b>76.8%</b>	<b>53.7%</b>	<b>81.4%</b>	<b>83.3%</b>	<b>69.8%</b>	<b>9.5%</b>

Source: Excensus LLC, Twin Cities Household Profile (2007)

The uniqueness of the Dakota County housing stock is shown in [Table 2](#). This table breaks out homeownership rates by county and by householder age. It also shows the proportion of each county’s owner-occupied homes that were not specifically single family detached. Dakota County, with an overall homeownership rate of 76.6% was above most suburban counties (Anoka, Carver, Scott – ranging from 79% to 84%)) but below the core counties (Hennepin and Ramsey – ranging from 62% to 64%). What differentiated Dakota County was the significantly larger share of non-traditional owner-occupied housing. Twenty-four percent of Dakota County’s homeowners lived in something other than single family detached homes. This was a significantly higher share than in any other metro area county.


Just over half (53.3%) of all Dakota County householders under age 35 were homeowners. While this is a significant share, it was well below the rate in Anoka, Carver and Scott Counties. Dakota County’s homeownership rates in the other ages categories (ranging from 74% to 85%) were comparable to the rates found in the other suburban counties.

### **Home Affordability**

For householders under age 35, housing affordability is a big factor affecting homeownership rates. [Figure 4](#) shows the relationship between homes values and householder ages. [Table 3](#) compares ownership and affordability across the seven-counties in 2007.



**Figure 4: Owner-Occupied Home Values in Dakota County (2007)**



**Table 3: Twin Cities Owner-Occupied Homes Valued Under \$207,800 (2007)**

Twin Cities Owner-Occupied Homes Valued Under \$207,800 (2007)		Pct. Of Owners in Homes Under \$207,800 (2007)				
	Homes < \$207,800	All HHs				
		Under 35	35 to 54	55 to 74	75+	
<b>7-County Metro Area</b>	<b>736,614</b>	<b>30.8%</b>	<b>46.1%</b>	<b>26.7%</b>	<b>28.7%</b>	<b>39.7%</b>
Anoka County	93,678	40.7%	52.6%	33.5%	43.1%	62.8%
Carver County	25,060	25.6%	42.1%	19.4%	26.5%	41.6%
Dakota County	112,897	29.3%	51.9%	23.3%	27.2%	47.5%
Hennepin County	281,246	27.2%	40.5%	25.1%	24.2%	29.3%
Suburban Hennepin County	207,472	22.2%	36.0%	19.7%	20.1%	26.5%
City of Minneapolis	73,774	41.1%	48.4%	40.5%	38.0%	38.5%
Ramsey County	122,779	39.7%	55.2%	37.7%	34.3%	44.8%
Suburban Ramsey County	64,471	28.1%	43.3%	24.2%	25.2%	38.3%
City of St. Paul	58,308	52.5%	62.8%	51.4%	47.0%	54.9%
Scott County	36,619	27.8%	44.3%	21.2%	26.2%	48.3%
Washington County	64,335	21.7%	33.1%	17.6%	21.7%	38.5%

Source: Excensus LLC, Twin Cities Household Profile (2007)


Figure 4 shows the value of homes (tax value in 2007) by age of householder. The Metropolitan Council for 2007 established an “affordability threshold” of \$207,800. This affordability ceiling is calculated based on 50 percent of regional median family income in 2007. It represents what a typical family of four would be able to afford in housing. Thirty percent (29.3%) of Dakota County’s owner-occupied homes had a tax value in 2007 below the Metropolitan Council’s affordability threshold. Table 3 indicates that this percentage was only slightly below the 7-county average, but well below the rate in Anoka County (40.7%), Minneapolis (41.1%), and St. Paul (52.5%).

More than half (51.9%) of the Dakota County homeowners under age 35 were living in homes valued under the \$207,800 threshold. Note that these homes had appeal across all age groups. By promoting development of owner-occupied townhomes, Dakota County helps ensure that there are affordable homes available for both younger households and for older homeowners interested in downsizing.

In addition to entry-level homes, Dakota County owner-occupied housing cuts across all price ranges. Homes in excess of \$300,000 reflect the need for “move-up” housing. More than 30 percent of all Dakota County homeowners between the ages of 35 and 54 were living in homes valued at \$300,000 or more. By offering a wide range of home values, Dakota County can help ensure move-up housing for growing households while at the same time opening up more housing choices for potential new first time homeowners.

## B. Turnover and Retention (2004 to 2007)

Figure 5: Housing Turnover (2004 to 2007)



More than 30,000 Dakota County households chose to move from an existing owned or rented home between 2004 and 2007. This change is called “turnover” and the annual rate of turnover is what determines the availability of existing housing for new incoming residents.

There can be significant differences in the turnover rate depending on renter or owner status and the age of the householder. [Figure 5](#) shows the turnover rate by ownership and age for Dakota County households from 2004 to 2007. Over this period, turnover averaged 7.2 percent a year. The turnover rate for the metro area was 7.6 percent. Renter households were nearly four times more likely to move than homeowners (16.7% for renters to 4.4% for owners). More than half (54.5%) of all moves were by households under the age of 45. Turnover among homeowners declined dramatically after the age of 45, where only about 3 percent of homes turned over. This lack of turnover, called “aging in place” can have a significant impact on the availability of housing in an area. More information on aging in place can be found in a later section.

[Table 4](#) and [Table 5](#) provide a comparison of homeowner and rental turnover rates for the seven-counties. During this period, the homeowner turnover rate did not vary significantly between counties. Anoka and Washington Counties, on the north and east side of the Metro Area had the lowest rate of homeowner turnover. The larger share of owned single family attached housing (e.g., townhomes) in Dakota County may have contributed to the County’s higher than average rate of turnover among homeowners under age 35 (9.2%) and ages 75 or more (4.8%).

**Table 4: Twin Cities Homeowner Turnover Rates (2004-2007)**

<b>Twin Cities Homeowner Turnover Rates (2004-2007)</b>						
	<b>Owner Moves</b>	<b>Homeowner Turnover Rate (3-Year Average)</b>				
		<b>All HHs</b>	<b>Under 35</b>	<b>35 to 54</b>	<b>55 to 74</b>	<b>75+</b>
<b>7-County Metro Area</b>	<b>90,996</b>	<b>4.2%</b>	<b>8.0%</b>	<b>3.9%</b>	<b>3.0%</b>	<b>4.0%</b>
<b>Anoka County</b>	<b>11,128</b>	<b>4.1%</b>	<b>7.3%</b>	<b>3.6%</b>	<b>3.1%</b>	<b>4.0%</b>
<b>Carver County</b>	<b>2,942</b>	<b>4.2%</b>	<b>7.4%</b>	<b>3.6%</b>	<b>3.2%</b>	<b>4.1%</b>
<b>Dakota County</b>	<b>14,361</b>	<b>4.4%</b>	<b>9.2%</b>	<b>3.9%</b>	<b>2.9%</b>	<b>4.8%</b>
<b>Hennepin County</b>	<b>35,570</b>	<b>4.3%</b>	<b>8.1%</b>	<b>4.0%</b>	<b>3.0%</b>	<b>3.9%</b>
<i>Suburban Hennepin County</i>	24,115	3.9%	7.5%	3.7%	2.9%	3.7%
<i>City of Minneapolis</i>	11,455	5.2%	9.3%	4.9%	3.1%	4.4%
<b>Ramsey County</b>	<b>15,609</b>	<b>4.3%</b>	<b>8.3%</b>	<b>4.0%</b>	<b>3.0%</b>	<b>4.2%</b>
<i>Suburban Ramsey County</i>	7,002	3.6%	7.8%	3.4%	2.7%	3.8%
<i>City of St. Paul</i>	8,607	5.0%	8.6%	4.7%	3.3%	4.7%
<b>Scott County</b>	<b>4,889</b>	<b>4.7%</b>	<b>7.9%</b>	<b>4.1%</b>	<b>3.2%</b>	<b>3.6%</b>
<b>Washington County</b>	<b>6,497</b>	<b>3.5%</b>	<b>6.2%</b>	<b>3.3%</b>	<b>2.7%</b>	<b>3.6%</b>

**Source: Excensus LLC, Twin Cities Household Mobility (2004-2007)**

The rental housing turnover rate in Dakota County (16.7%) was among the highest in the metro area and seems to support the availability of housing options in the county.

**Table 5: Twin Cities Rental Turnover Rates (2004-2007)**

Twin Cities Rental Turnover Rates (2004-2007)						
	Owner Moves	Rental Turnover Rate (3-Year Average)				
		All HHs	Under 35	35 to 54	55 to 74	75+
<b>7-County Metro Area</b>	<b>142,162</b>	<b>14.7%</b>	<b>18.9%</b>	<b>14.1%</b>	<b>9.3%</b>	<b>8.6%</b>
Anoka County	11,757	16.2%	22.0%	14.9%	10.7%	13.2%
Carver County	1,889	13.9%	20.1%	13.4%	9.1%	7.4%
Dakota County	16,476	16.7%	22.0%	15.4%	10.6%	9.6%
Hennepin County	73,148	14.3%	18.2%	14.1%	8.7%	7.7%
Suburban Hennepin County	42,476	14.4%	20.1%	14.4%	8.7%	7.4%
City of Minneapolis	30,672	14.0%	16.4%	13.5%	8.6%	9.0%
Ramsey County	29,294	14.7%	18.5%	13.8%	9.9%	9.3%
Suburban Ramsey County	11,193	14.6%	20.1%	13.7%	9.3%	8.8%
City of St. Paul	18,101	14.7%	17.7%	13.8%	10.4%	9.9%
Scott County	2,587	14.5%	19.7%	13.8%	9.5%	9.0%
Washington County	7,011	13.1%	17.2%	12.9%	8.9%	8.9%

Source: Excensus LLC, Twin Cities Household Mobility (2004-2007)

The ages of Dakota County households that moved into owner-occupied housing from 2004 to 2007 are displayed by age in Figure 6. While younger than the base of existing homeowners, the Count’s profile shows good coverage across both young and middle age categories.

**Figure 6: Age Profile of Move-in Homeowners (2004 to 2007)**





Table 6 provides a comparison of incoming homeowners across the seven counties. Dakota County captured a below average share of younger households and a significantly larger share of homeowners between the ages of 55 and 74. This is consistent with the earlier finding that that the County is providing an expanded range of “move-up” housing options for this age segment.

**Table 6: Twin Cities Area Incoming Homeowner Comparisons (2004 to 2007)**

Twin Cities Area New Homeowner Comparisons (2004 to 2007)							
	All Move-in Households	Median HH Age	Pct. Of All HHs	Distribution by Householder Age (2007)			
				Under 35	35 to 54	55 to 74	75+
<b>7-County Metro Area</b>	<b>119,598</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>16.2%</b>	<b>37.4%</b>	<b>46.4%</b>	<b>13.8%</b>	<b>2.4%</b>
<b>Anoka County</b>	<b>15,760</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>16.8%</b>	<b>40.9%</b>	<b>43.8%</b>	<b>13.5%</b>	<b>1.9%</b>
<b>Carver County</b>	<b>5,556</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>22.2%</b>	<b>35.6%</b>	<b>50.0%</b>	<b>12.0%</b>	<b>2.4%</b>
<b>Dakota County</b>	<b>19,348</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>17.1%</b>	<b>34.4%</b>	<b>47.0%</b>	<b>16.3%</b>	<b>2.3%</b>
<b>Hennepin County</b>	<b>41,229</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>14.7%</b>	<b>38.2%</b>	<b>47.6%</b>	<b>12.1%</b>	<b>2.0%</b>
<i>Suburban Hennepin County</i>	28,805	39	13.9%	35.0%	49.8%	13.1%	2.2%
<i>City of Minneapolis</i>	12,424	36	16.9%	45.7%	42.7%	9.9%	1.7%
<b>Ramsey County</b>	<b>19,521</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>15.9%</b>	<b>37.9%</b>	<b>42.3%</b>	<b>15.8%</b>	<b>4.0%</b>
<i>Suburban Ramsey County</i>	8,046	41	12.5%	34.2%	42.6%	17.6%	5.6%
<i>City of St. Paul</i>	11,475	38	19.7%	40.6%	42.1%	14.4%	2.9%
<b>Scott County</b>	<b>8,285</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>22.6%</b>	<b>41.8%</b>	<b>45.2%</b>	<b>11.4%</b>	<b>1.6%</b>
<b>Washington County</b>	<b>9,899</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>15.4%</b>	<b>30.9%</b>	<b>51.2%</b>	<b>15.8%</b>	<b>2.1%</b>

Source: Excensus LLC, Twin Cities Household Mobility (2004 to 2007)

**Figure 7: Household Retention – All Households (2004 to 2007)**



“Household Turnover” refers to the movement of households from existing homes. “Retention” is the proportion of these moves that end up with another dwelling in the same county. [Figure 7](#) (above) shows the average annual turnover rate for Dakota County households by householder age (2004 to 2007) as well as the share of those moves that were retained in Dakota County. Two-thirds of the County’s household moves involved householders under the age of 45. Retention tended to be lowest for the younger households (under age 35) and higher for older households (65+).

The discussion of turnover and retention points up the challenges involved in expanding and retaining a base of younger households. These households are the most likely to move and the least likely to be retained. Even when younger households move into a vacated dwelling, the chances are great that they are simply replacing a younger household that moved out.

[Table 7](#) shows the retention rates during this same period for each of the seven counties. Dakota County’s overall retention rate (66.8%) was very high relative to the other suburban counties suggesting again the range of available housing options.

**Table 7: Twin Cities Households That Moved Within the Same County (2004-2007)**

Twin Cities Households That Moved Within the Same Area (2004-2007)						
	Retained Moves	Retention as Percent of Household Moves				
		All HHs	Under 35	35 to 54	55 to 74	75+
<b>7-County Metro Area</b>	<b>101,979</b>	<b>68.0%</b>	<b>65.5%</b>	<b>69.3%</b>	<b>69.1%</b>	<b>78.7%</b>
<b>Anoka County</b>	<b>8,719</b>	<b>66.6%</b>	<b>64.0%</b>	<b>68.4%</b>	<b>67.5%</b>	<b>67.6%</b>
<b>Carver County</b>	<b>1,786</b>	<b>57.0%</b>	<b>53.8%</b>	<b>58.2%</b>	<b>55.3%</b>	<b>84.4%</b>
<b>Dakota County</b>	<b>13,629</b>	<b>66.8%</b>	<b>62.5%</b>	<b>69.2%</b>	<b>69.7%</b>	<b>78.3%</b>
<b>Hennepin County</b>	<b>52,925</b>	<b>75.3%</b>	<b>73.8%</b>	<b>76.0%</b>	<b>76.0%</b>	<b>84.9%</b>
<i>Suburban Hennepin County</i>	28,473	66.5%	61.1%	68.5%	70.8%	83.0%
<i>City of Minneapolis</i>	13,785	50.1%	51.6%	49.7%	46.2%	41.5%
<b>Ramsey County</b>	<b>16,524</b>	<b>56.0%</b>	<b>52.8%</b>	<b>57.2%</b>	<b>59.1%</b>	<b>72.1%</b>
<i>Suburban Ramsey County</i>	5,000	41.7%	33.4%	44.0%	48.4%	67.6%
<i>City of St. Paul</i>	8,150	46.6%	45.9%	47.1%	47.1%	47.3%
<b>Scott County</b>	<b>2,959</b>	<b>60.4%</b>	<b>58.1%</b>	<b>62.0%</b>	<b>60.5%</b>	<b>69.6%</b>
<b>Washington County</b>	<b>5,437</b>	<b>62.6%</b>	<b>55.9%</b>	<b>66.6%</b>	<b>63.7%</b>	<b>69.9%</b>

Source: Excensus LLC, Twin Cities Household Migration (2004-2007)

### C. Origins and Destinations

Just over a third (36.3%) of the households that moved to a home in Dakota County during this period had an origin outside of the county. An origin outside Dakota County is most likely to occur with younger householders and least likely with older households.

Figure 8 maps the origin locations for households that moved into (or from within) Dakota County between 2004 and 2007. The dots show the actual origin location and the shading shows where the density of these move-in households was the greatest. Note the concentrations of new residents coming from Minneapolis, St. Paul, Richfield and Bloomington.

**Figure 8: Dakota County Incoming Households (2004 to 2007)**




Table 8 shows the counties of origin for households that moved into Dakota County as well as the destination counties for existing households that moved beyond the County’s border. Of the 8,435 households that came from outside of the County, over 70 percent were from either Hennepin County (3,744) or Ramsey County (2,301). Scott and Washington Counties each contributed about 900 incoming households.

Due to growth in the County, there were 2,200 more metro area households that moved into the county than moved out. Dakota County was a net “importer” of households from Hennepin County (+1,303) and Ramsey Counties (+994) and a net “exporter” of households to Scott County (-574). Flows between Dakota and other metro counties were negligible.

**Table 8: Moves to and From Dakota County (2004-2007)**

<b>Moves to Dakota County from a Metro Area County</b> <b>County of Origin by Householder Age (2004-2007)</b> Source: Excensus LLC										
Householder Ages	Moves Within Dakota Co	Incoming Households from Another Metro County							Total Move-Ins	Pct. Originating in Another County
		Anoka Co	Carver Co	Hennepin Co	Ramsey Co	Scott Co	Washington Co	Total		
Lt 25	1,737	45	28	365	241	124	150	953	2,690	35.4%
25 to 34	4,503	155	39	1,484	862	305	314	3,159	7,662	41.2%
35 to 44	3,625	83	30	877	517	233	173	1,913	5,538	34.5%
45 to 54	2,637	65	13	535	362	165	158	1,298	3,935	33.0%
55 to 64	1,408	24	10	317	201	73	96	721	2,129	33.9%
65 to 74	575	14	2	117	84	28	35	280	855	32.7%
75 to 84	239	1	-	40	25	8	15	89	328	27.1%
85+	68	-	1	9	9	1	2	22	90	24.4%
<b>Total</b>	<b>14,792</b>	<b>387</b>	<b>123</b>	<b>3,744</b>	<b>2,301</b>	<b>937</b>	<b>943</b>	<b>8,435</b>	<b>23,227</b>	<b>36.3%</b>

<b>Moves from Dakota County that Relocated within the Metro Area</b> <b>Destination County by Householder Age (2004-2007)</b> Source: Excensus LLC										
Householder Ages	Moves Within Dakota Co	Outgoing Households Relocating in Another Metro County							Total Move-Outs	Dakota Co Retention Rate*
		Anoka Co	Carver Co	Hennepin Co	Ramsey Co	Scott Co	Washington Co	Total		
Lt 25	1,118	25	16	231	143	127	103	645	1,763	63.4%
25 to 34	4,080	144	82	927	439	552	336	2,480	6,560	62.2%
35 to 44	3,586	104	43	526	267	405	220	1,565	5,151	69.6%
45 to 54	2,387	56	28	383	239	221	165	1,092	3,479	68.6%
55 to 64	1,345	40	11	241	130	141	86	649	1,994	67.5%
65 to 74	705	14	5	88	49	57	31	244	949	74.3%
75 to 84	323	1	2	37	29	8	13	90	413	78.2%
85+	80	1	-	8	11	-	2	22	102	78.4%
<b>Total</b>	<b>13,624</b>	<b>385</b>	<b>187</b>	<b>2,441</b>	<b>1,307</b>	<b>1,511</b>	<b>956</b>	<b>6,787</b>	<b>20,411</b>	<b>66.7%</b>
<b>Net Dakota Co. HH Flows**</b>		<b>2</b>	<b>(64)</b>	<b>1,303</b>	<b>994</b>	<b>(574)</b>	<b>(13)</b>	<b>1,648</b>		

\*The Retention Rate is the percent of the County moves that originated and ended within the County

\*\* Net Household Flows is the difference between the number of households moving to a county and those moving in from that county. A positive net flow means that there are more households moving in from a particular county than are moving out to that county.

Note that the number of moves within Dakota County is different between the two tables. This is due to changes in the composition of households. New households are formed when individuals in existing households break away to form new ones. In the same way, households can be lost when two existing householders join together in a single household.


## D. Aging in Place

Three-quarters of all Dakota County households chose to remain in their homes rather than move during the period 2004 to 2007. While they did not move, they did “age in place.”

Figure 9 provides an age profile for these aging-in-place households. This group was distinctly older than the county’s household base as a whole. Their median householder age in 2007 was 50 years and nearly a third was between the ages of 55 and 74. Metro area comparisons, in Table 9, show that Dakota County had an above average share of these older, aging-in-place households. If this pattern continues -- a large share of older households with very low turnover – the County could see a significant increase in the number of households moving into the older age categories. This has implications for both community services and housing needs.



**Figure 9: Householders That Did Not Move (2004 to 2007)**



**Table 9: Twin Cities Area Householders That Did Not Move (2004 to 2007)**

<b>Twin Cities Area Households That Did Not Move Between 2004 and 2007</b>						
	HH's That Did Not Move	Pct. Of All HHs	Distribution by Householder Age (2007)			
			Under 35	35 to 54	55 to 74	75+
<b>7-County Metro Area</b>	<b>783,864</b>	<b>73.5%</b>	<b>12.4%</b>	<b>48.5%</b>	<b>30.8%</b>	<b>8.4%</b>
Anoka County	90,955	77.1%	11.6%	51.7%	30.4%	6.3%
Carver County	22,056	73.4%	10.7%	57.2%	25.9%	6.2%
Dakota County	110,768	74.9%	10.7%	51.2%	32.1%	6.0%
Hennepin County	327,728	72.4%	13.3%	46.5%	30.6%	9.6%
Suburban Hennepin County	231,459	75.6%	10.6%	46.3%	32.5%	10.6%
City of Minneapolis	96,269	65.6%	19.9%	47.0%	26.2%	6.9%
Ramsey County	135,944	71.3%	13.2%	44.1%	32.0%	10.7%
Suburban Ramsey County	69,961	77.6%	9.7%	42.0%	35.6%	12.6%
City of St. Paul	65,983	65.7%	16.9%	46.3%	28.1%	8.7%
Scott County	31,575	72.8%	14.0%	56.7%	24.5%	4.7%
Washington County	64,838	77.4%	9.8%	51.2%	32.0%	6.9%

Source: Excensus LLC, Twin Cities Household Mobility (2007)

## E. Housing Choices

A total of 23,080 metro area households moved into or within Dakota County during this three-year period. In addition to tracking of householder ages, there is also a complete record of their prior housing. [Table 10](#) provides a summary of the housing choices made by incoming Dakota County households from 2004 to 2007.

**Table 10: Housing Choices Made (2004 to 2007)**

Housing Choices Made by Dakota County Households (2004 to 2007)					
2004 - 2007 Moves	Total	Householder Age			
		LT Age 35	Age 35-54	Age 55-74	Age 75+
<b>Total Household (Metro Area) Moves</b>	<b>23,080</b>	<b>10,279</b>	<b>9,421</b>	<b>2,966</b>	<b>414</b>
<i>Distribution by Age</i>	100%	45%	41%	13%	2%
<b>Moves to Owner-Occ. Housing</b>	<b>13,130</b>	<b>5,175</b>	<b>5,943</b>	<b>1,856</b>	<b>156</b>
<i>Pct. Of All HH Moves</i>	57%	50%	63%	63%	38%
<b>* <u>To Owned SF Detached Home</u></b>	<b>7,613</b>	<b>2,865</b>	<b>4,002</b>	<b>696</b>	<b>50</b>
<i>Pct. Of All Household Moves</i>	33%	28%	42%	23%	12%
<i>Avg. Home Value (2007)</i>	\$312,481	\$279,104	\$343,735	\$318,761	\$196,588
<b>- From SFD Home</b>	<b>4,907</b>	<b>1,597</b>	<b>2,790</b>	<b>485</b>	<b>35</b>
<i>Pct. Of Moves to SFD</i>	64%	56%	70%	70%	70%
<b>* <u>To Owned SF Attached Home</u></b>	<b>5,517</b>	<b>2,310</b>	<b>1,941</b>	<b>1,160</b>	<b>106</b>
<i>Pct. Of All Household Moves</i>	24%	22%	21%	39%	26%
<i>Avg. Home Value (2007)</i>	\$208,007	\$180,911	\$209,011	\$242,048	\$266,199
<b>- From SFD Home</b>	<b>2,999</b>	<b>1,129</b>	<b>1,039</b>	<b>759</b>	<b>72</b>
<i>Pct. Of Moves to SFA</i>	54%	49%	54%	65%	68%
<b>* <u>To Rental Housing</u></b>	<b>9,950</b>	<b>5,104</b>	<b>3,478</b>	<b>1,110</b>	<b>258</b>
<i>Pct. Of All Household Moves</i>	43%	50%	37%	37%	62%
<b>- From SFD Home</b>	<b>4,251</b>	<b>2,105</b>	<b>1,566</b>	<b>493</b>	<b>87</b>
<i>Pct. Of Moves to Rental</i>	43%	41%	45%	44%	34%
<b>- From SFA Home</b>	<b>1,644</b>	<b>755</b>	<b>608</b>	<b>214</b>	<b>67</b>
<i>Pct. Of Moves to Rental</i>	17%	15%	17%	19%	26%
<b>- From Apartment</b>	<b>3,975</b>	<b>2,209</b>	<b>1,269</b>	<b>394</b>	<b>103</b>
<i>Pct. Of Moves to Rental</i>	40%	43%	36%	35%	40%

**Source: Excensus LLC, Twin Cities Household Mobility (2004 to 2007)**

The data shows that a great many households routinely move between owned and rental housing and between multi-family and single family housing. During this three-year period, a third (36%) of the households that moved into an owner-occupied single family detached home were previously living in something other than a single family detached home.


Likewise, more than half (54%) of the households that moved into an owned single family attached home (townhomes or condominiums) had been living in a single family detached home. This proportion increased with the age of the householder. Twice as many householders ages 55 or older moved from single family detached home to a townhome or condo than moved to another single family detached home. This is a strong endorsement for the owned townhomes that have been built in Dakota County.

Of the households that moved out of apartments, less than half (40 percent) chose to move into another apartment in Dakota County. There is a compelling argument in the tracking data that many households are seeking new housing options (type and price).


## F. Income Trends

While household-level income figures are not available, newly released summary income tax data from the Minnesota Department of Revenue (tax years 2003 and 2006) can show where income category shifts may be occurring. [Figure 10](#) shows the median 2003 federal adjusted gross incomes by census block group in Dakota County. [Figure 11](#) shows the same information but for the 2006 tax year. No areas of significant income loss are indicated in this County due to demographic changes during this period.

**Figure 10:** Resident Incomes in 2003 (Median Federal Adjusted Gross Income)



**Figure 11:** Resident Incomes in 2006 (Median Federal Adjusted Gross Income)



## G. Data Description and Definition of Terms

The information presented in this report is based on household-level profiles and tracking data developed and maintained by Excensus LLC. All rights to this information remain the property of Excensus LLC.

### Data Sources

The demographic profiles have been created by Excensus from administrative data sets shared for research and planning purposes by Federal, State, and local government sources. Principal data sets include the US Postal Service resident file, the Minnesota Department of Public Safety driver's license and vehicle registration files, the MetroGIS parcel and property ownership files, and Minnesota Department of Revenue income tax summary files. All private and confidential information on individuals and households is protected by non-disclosure agreements, usage licenses, and/or Minnesota privacy statutes and may not be released by Excensus.

### Methodology

All charts and tables used in this report refer to actual household counts. The 7-County metro area data set consists of more than 1 million households and their occupied housing units. Each household record is linked to its individual property parcel using GIS. This helps provide address verification and permits household and housing attributes to be mapped and linked by means of a relational database. This is a longitudinal data set. All householders and other adults in these households are assigned a unique identifier which permits the tracking of householders as they move from location to location within the 7-county metro area.

### Definitions of Terms

Household – For purposes of this analysis, a household is equivalent to an “occupied housing unit.” Note that households may be created or lost as members of a single household relocate to separate dwellings or where separate households come together into a single housing unit. This differs from the definition used by the Census Bureau which permits multiple households (unrelated individuals or families) to occupy a single housing unit.

Householder – This is the oldest adult living in an occupied housing unit. Note that the householder may change from year to year as the members of the household change. In the Excensus system, the householder in each dwelling is updated annually.

Turnover – The base consists of household moves from an existing home. A move is indicated when the household is no longer residing at a particular address. The turnover rate is the percent of all households that moved and is computed as an average annual rate.

Retention – Moves where the householder relocates in the same geographic area (i.e., county for purposes of this report). The retention rate is the proportion of all moves that are retained in the same geographic area.

Move-up Housing – Typically a larger, more expensive home that a homeowner buys in response to an expanding household or increasing income.

Aging in Place – Household members that remain in their current home rather than moving. Household members age during that period. A community that is aging-in-place may appear to be unchanged, but the needs of the residents change due to aging.

Housing Choices – These are the housing options (type, style, price and location) available to a household looking for new owned or rental housing. This analysis shows “choice” based on actual market behavior – that is, what different groups of households are buying or renting.

Affordability threshold - The Metropolitan Council for 2007 established an “affordability threshold” of \$207,800. This affordability ceiling is calculated based on 50 percent of regional median family income in 2007. It represents what a typical family of four would be able to afford in housing.

Single Family Housing – Generally, this is a dwelling with a separate, private entrance. A single family detached (SFD) home is a dwelling that is not attached to another dwelling unit. A single family attached (SFA) home is a dwelling that is physically attached to at least one other dwelling unit. Examples of single family attached homes include townhomes, duplexes, triplexes and quad homes. Mobile homes are also considered single family attached dwellings for purposes of this research.

Multi-Family Housing – Generally, this is a group of attached dwelling units with a common entrance. Examples of a multi-family home would include most condominiums and apartments.

Age Concentration Rate – The percentage of householder ages that fall within 5 years (+ and -) of the median householder age. A high concentration of households at the center often reflects a history of rapid growth and/or development based on a limited variety of housing types and values.

Lifecycle Segments – These are groupings of householders by age that represent different stages in the life of a household – “forming households” (under age 35), “growing households” (ages 35 to 54), “mature households” (ages 55 to 74), and “aging households” (ages 75+). This typology is useful for describing underlying household needs and housing requirements.

**EXCENSUS™ DEMOGRAPHIC CHANGE REPORT - CITY COMPARISON TABLES - AGE AND HOMEOWNERSHIP in 2007**

	Total Households	Householder Age Distribution (2007)				Home Ownership (2007)					Percent Not SFD
		Under 35	35 to 54	55 to 74	75+	All HHs	Under 35	35 to 54	55 to 74	75+	
<b>Anoka County</b>											
Andover	9,553	1244	5823	2261	225	8,957	1,075	5,529	2,184	169	2.6%
Anoka	6,918	1633	2869	1792	624	3,944	642	1,707	1,224	371	3.2%
Bethel	162	34	95	32	1	141	28	84	28	1	0.7%
Blaine (Anoka Co part)	20,702	4557	9894	5474	777	16,317	3,372	8,008	4,376	561	2.3%
Nowthen (Prev. BurnsTwp.)	1,209	120	713	324	52	1,125	105	666	308	46	0.2%
Centerville	1,295	235	735	272	53	1,179	196	687	256	40	7.9%
Circle Pines	1,911	344	865	540	162	1,751	302	803	511	135	7.8%
Columbia Heights	7,464	1434	3004	2055	971	5,440	846	2,185	1,645	764	10.8%
Columbus	1,377	90	719	503	65	1,295	69	677	491	58	1.0%
Coon Rapids	23,512	4779	10855	6444	1434	18,011	2,827	8,623	5,471	1,090	10.6%
East Bethel	3,920	567	2274	962	117	3,365	424	1,992	843	106	0.2%
Fridley	10,960	2287	4505	3200	968	6,939	923	2,748	2,457	811	5.2%
Ham Lake	5,084	516	2880	1525	163	4,566	400	2,635	1,399	132	0.5%
Hilltop	352	83	150	105	14	212	36	89	75	12	87.7%
Lexington	803	161	421	194	27	415	58	221	113	23	5.3%
Lino Lakes	6,008	744	3895	1207	162	5,654	646	3,712	1,144	152	0.8%
Linwood Township	1,351	132	779	391	49	1,270	114	737	373	46	0.0%
Oak Grove	2,667	337	1475	792	63	2,480	278	1,395	751	56	0.2%
Ramsey	7,621	1519	4050	1895	157	6,886	1,263	3,712	1,784	127	0.4%
St. Francis	2,484	680	1268	471	65	1,867	505	988	329	45	5.9%
Spring Lake Park (Anoka Co part)	2,592	393	1048	879	272	1,864	233	743	716	172	3.4%
<b>Carver County</b>											
Benton Township	292	21	145	96	30	281	18	139	94	30	1.1%
Camden Township	332	29	181	98	24	323	27	177	95	24	0.6%
Carver	932	230	567	121	14	870	207	537	114	12	0.0%
Chanhassen (Carv Co part)	7,785	1,047	4,571	1,800	367	6,897	785	4,224	1,631	257	0.1%
Chaska	8,431	1,829	4,560	1,713	329	5,841	1,098	3,383	1,167	193	0.1%
Cologne	490	143	245	75	27	430	122	228	61	19	0.2%
Dahlgren Township	502	40	251	169	42	468	33	234	163	38	0.2%
Hamburg	190	40	85	47	18	166	29	76	44	17	0.0%
Hancock Township	129	18	55	44	12	125	15	55	44	11	0.0%
Hollywood Township	380	35	186	121	38	355	33	172	114	36	1.1%
Laketown Township	591	31	321	198	41	560	25	304	192	39	0.4%

**EXCENSUS™ DEMOGRAPHIC CHANGE REPORT - CITY COMPARISON TABLES - AGE AND HOMEOWNERSHIP in 2007**

	Total Households	Householder Age Distribution (2007)				Home Ownership (2007)					Percent Not SFD
		Under 35	35 to 54	55 to 74	75+	All HHs	Under 35	35 to 54	55 to 74	75+	
<b>Carver County (continued)</b>											
Mayer	508	205	209	71	23	476	193	198	67	18	0.0%
New Germany	107	18	48	28	13	88	14	40	23	11	1.1%
Norwood Young America	1,068	225	500	237	106	849	170	418	199	62	0.1%
San Francisco Township	318	21	170	111	16	307	20	162	109	16	0.7%
Victoria	2,111	237	1,260	516	98	1,957	215	1,178	476	88	0.0%
Waconia	3,486	719	1,831	639	297	2,994	621	1,628	544	201	0.2%
Waconia Township	436	23	225	157	31	415	20	215	151	29	0.2%
Watertown	1,185	276	604	227	78	916	211	482	170	53	0.0%
Watertown Township	465	27	219	175	44	438	23	206	169	40	0.2%
Young America Township	321	31	165	104	21	304	28	155	100	21	1.6%
<b>Dakota County</b>											
Apple Valley	18,654	2,948	9,166	5,856	684	15,060	1,806	7,582	5,205	467	36.4%
Burnsville	24,477	5,397	10,689	7,225	1,166	15,591	1,691	7,198	5,885	817	30.5%
Castle Rock Township	449	32	220	179	18	426	26	210	172	18	1.2%
Coates	61	12	26	23	-	43	8	14	21	-	7.0%
Douglas Township	234	20	129	73	12	227	16	127	72	12	0.0%
Eagan	25,248	4,810	13,105	6,542	791	18,822	2,064	10,604	5,575	579	28.8%
Empire Township	780	140	468	151	21	680	98	423	139	20	8.4%
Eureka Township	508	27	250	211	20	465	15	230	201	19	0.9%
Farmington	6,722	1,655	3,686	1,173	208	5,966	1,418	3,336	1,065	147	21.5%
Greenvale Township	248	29	124	75	20	231	20	116	75	20	0.0%
Hampton	205	69	94	33	9	182	62	79	32	9	6.0%
Hampton Township	331	31	177	109	14	306	21	171	101	13	0.3%
Hastings (Dak Co part)	8,111	1,526	3,535	2,463	587	6,141	941	2,731	2,057	412	27.9%
Inver Grove Heights	13,183	2,609	6,146	3,618	810	9,473	1,242	4,700	2,922	609	29.0%
Lakeville	17,596	2,653	10,543	4,082	318	14,913	1,833	9,271	3,578	231	16.8%
Lilydale	324	2	43	151	128	305	2	39	142	122	98.7%
Marshan Township	435	42	221	155	17	391	32	194	149	16	1.5%
Mendota	54	7	19	24	4	46	5	17	20	4	4.3%
Mendota Heights	4,405	331	1,852	1,664	558	3,858	183	1,680	1,521	474	16.4%
Miesville	47	8	14	18	7	42	5	12	18	7	0.0%
New Trier	41	10	14	15	2	32	6	12	12	2	3.1%
Nininger Township	306	20	153	126	7	292	18	144	123	7	0.3%
Northfield (Dak Co part)	359	59	144	113	43	351	57	140	111	43	45.6%



**EXCENSUS™ DEMOGRAPHIC CHANGE REPORT - CITY COMPARISON TABLES - AGE AND HOMEOWNERSHIP in 2007**

	Total Households	Householder Age Distribution (2007)				Home Ownership (2007)					Percent Not SFD
		Under 35	35 to 54	55 to 74	75+	All HHs	Under 35	35 to 54	55 to 74	75+	
<b>Dakota County (continued)</b>											
Randolph	141	25	71	40	5	120	20	59	36	5	2.5%
Randolph Township	206	23	97	77	9	190	18	88	75	9	0.0%
Ravenna Township	770	59	422	276	13	741	55	407	269	10	0.3%
Rosemount	7,078	1,196	3,895	1,732	255	6,204	935	3,474	1,588	207	26.9%
Sciota Township	117	16	61	33	7	110	14	56	33	7	0.0%
South St. Paul	7,659	1,660	3,400	1,913	686	5,815	1,031	2,667	1,546	571	7.6%
Sunfish Lake	180	4	70	89	17	169	3	65	85	16	0.0%
Vermillion	142	26	72	35	9	138	26	68	35	9	1.4%
Vermillion Township	418	28	230	138	22	384	21	212	129	22	0.5%
Waterford Township	168	18	65	70	15	160	14	62	69	15	1.3%
West St. Paul	8,161	1,483	3,205	2,337	1,136	5,023	607	2,080	1,587	749	14.7%
<b>Hennepin County</b>											
Bloomington	35,376	5,783	13,870	11,434	4,289	22,261	1,922	9,220	8,485	2,634	9.4%
Brooklyn Center	10,799	2,432	4,525	2,655	1,187	7,297	1,163	3,131	2,104	899	8.9%
Brooklyn Park	26,408	6,174	12,854	6,252	1,128	18,606	2,925	9,640	5,203	838	15.6%
Champlin	8,273	1,386	4,825	1,763	299	6,818	937	4,165	1,505	211	5.3%
Corcoran	1,878	150	1,065	599	64	1,641	101	928	550	62	0.4%
Crystal	9,165	1,778	3,963	2,400	1,024	7,095	1,179	3,130	1,994	792	1.9%
Dayton (Henn Co part)	1,607	143	896	512	56	1,331	85	737	457	52	0.8%
Deephaven	1,355	64	655	495	141	1,283	49	619	480	135	0.4%
Eden Prairie	23,544	4,598	12,002	5,966	978	15,233	1,435	8,762	4,515	521	16.4%
Edina	20,386	2,054	7,883	6,745	3,704	12,584	657	5,847	4,504	1,576	4.7%
Excelsior	1,111	251	457	292	111	452	35	223	146	48	6.0%
Fort Snelling (unorganized)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Golden Valley	8,639	1,103	3,512	2,718	1,306	6,458	640	2,827	2,177	814	7.0%
Greenfield	859	77	527	238	17	815	67	500	232	16	3.4%
Greenwood	310	19	144	123	24	258	10	122	107	19	0.0%
Hanover (Henn Co part)	183	29	108	43	3	169	24	100	42	3	3.0%
Hassan Township	963	97	581	262	23	822	41	518	240	23	0.4%
Hopkins	8,092	2,365	3,321	1,740	666	2,845	434	1,317	811	283	22.1%
Independence	1,229	66	679	407	77	1,154	54	633	394	73	0.8%
Long Lake	692	78	359	210	45	539	49	277	176	37	8.5%
Loretto	236	36	125	50	25	211	31	117	44	19	15.6%
Maple Grove	22,068	3,852	11,746	5,727	743	17,839	2,324	10,112	4,889	514	16.3%

**EXCENSUS™ DEMOGRAPHIC CHANGE REPORT - CITY COMPARISON TABLES - AGE AND HOMEOWNERSHIP in 2007**

	Total Households	Householder Age Distribution (2007)				Home Ownership (2007)					Percent Not SFD
		Under 35	35 to 54	55 to 74	75+	All HHs	Under 35	35 to 54	55 to 74	75+	
<b>Hennepin County (continued)</b>											
Maple Plain	717	114	364	195	44	477	41	264	151	21	2.3%
Medicine Lake	151	16	74	49	12	111	5	50	44	12	1.8%
Medina	1,635	88	888	535	124	1,424	66	815	467	76	1.1%
Minneapolis	146,661	45,410	63,161	30,688	7,402	73,326	12,731	35,780	19,719	5,096	11.8%
Minnetonka	21,469	3,018	8,851	7,197	2,403	14,286	817	6,511	5,594	1,364	12.0%
Minnetonka Beach	210	4	96	95	15	189	3	84	88	14	0.0%
Minnetrista	2,101	183	1,170	653	95	1,920	150	1,069	613	88	2.4%
Mound	4,074	594	2,016	1,194	270	3,089	364	1,597	953	175	2.7%
New Hope	8,250	1,772	3,361	2,323	794	4,638	651	1,913	1,623	451	3.9%
Orono	2,931	183	1,427	1,087	234	2,527	130	1,238	975	184	2.0%
Osseo	1,026	186	418	263	159	584	71	258	176	79	2.9%
Plymouth	27,529	4,995	12,631	8,044	1,859	18,320	1,623	9,243	6,275	1,179	16.5%
Richfield	14,790	3,272	5,972	3,573	1,973	9,383	1,445	3,993	2,668	1,277	2.4%
Robbinsdale	5,715	1,270	2,492	1,276	677	4,253	849	1,976	1,008	420	5.2%
Rockford (Henn Co part)	68	2	33	27	6	63	1	30	26	6	28.6%
Rogers	2,524	584	1,435	382	123	2,146	469	1,293	299	85	15.1%
St. Anthony (Henn Co part)	2,175	207	836	671	461	1,728	131	721	547	329	5.2%
St. Bonifacius	828	164	465	164	35	684	116	394	141	33	6.0%
St. Louis Park	20,746	5,943	8,336	4,670	1,797	11,540	2,014	5,051	3,241	1,234	5.6%
Shorewood	2,676	131	1,388	926	231	2,469	98	1,283	861	227	13.0%
Spring Park	692	136	258	179	119	208	21	85	81	21	19.7%
Tonka Bay	634	37	299	258	40	559	24	270	225	40	4.8%
Wayzata	1,761	227	639	653	242	963	53	372	425	113	22.8%
Woodland	179	12	67	79	21	164	9	62	73	20	3.7%
<b>Ramsey County</b>											
Arden Hills	2,917	316	1,179	1,110	312	2,343	184	943	962	254	17.2%
Falcon Heights	1,808	369	651	528	260	1,218	80	474	442	222	10.6%
Gem Lake	156	11	70	60	15	139	5	63	57	14	0.0%
Lauderdale	1,114	375	418	254	67	476	82	166	170	58	9.9%
Little Canada	4,356	876	1,719	1,299	462	2,390	218	1,002	881	289	34.6%
Maplewood	14,347	2,405	6,141	4,166	1,635	10,190	1,191	4,642	3,222	1,135	16.4%
Mounds View	5,017	1,009	2,191	1,420	397	2,989	340	1,298	1,046	305	8.7%
New Brighton	9,009	1,821	3,417	2,885	886	5,718	588	2,231	2,305	594	15.2%
North Oaks	1,575	37	600	744	194	1,436	32	554	717	133	3.6%

**EXCENSUS™ DEMOGRAPHIC CHANGE REPORT - CITY COMPARISON TABLES - AGE AND HOMEOWNERSHIP in 2007**

	Total Households	Householder Age Distribution (2007)				Home Ownership (2007)					Percent Not SFD
		Under 35	35 to 54	55 to 74	75+	All HHs	Under 35	35 to 54	55 to 74	75+	
<b>Ramsey County (continued)</b>											
North St. Paul	4,540	849	1,946	1,296	449	3,333	431	1,497	1,060	345	5.6%
Roseville	14,178	2,451	5,119	4,341	2,267	9,981	938	3,613	3,610	1,820	18.2%
St. Anthony (Rams Co part)	1,403	441	424	368	170	454	53	127	195	79	67.8%
St. Paul	100,479	27,250	43,517	23,125	6,587	58,308	10,105	27,096	16,276	4,831	14.1%
Shoreview	10,452	1,324	4,615	3,520	993	8,703	795	3,943	3,179	786	27.9%
Spring Lake Park (Rams Co part)	76	11	34	27	4	52	8	17	24	3	38.5%
Vadnais Heights	5,135	791	2,346	1,613	385	4,053	425	1,926	1,392	310	30.9%
White Bear Township	4,343	408	2,105	1,494	336	4,012	333	1,973	1,408	298	19.6%
White Bear Lake (Rams Co part)	9,676	1,745	3,949	2,797	1,185	6,984	820	2,975	2,323	866	14.5%
<b>Scott County</b>											
Belle Plaine	2,242	656	1,021	400	165	1,805	530	847	313	115	6.5%
Belle Plaine Township	328	27	180	86	35	308	23	169	83	33	1.0%
Blakeley Township	168	19	80	56	13	154	16	73	52	13	0.0%
Cedar Lake Township	908	114	503	254	37	858	106	475	240	37	0.0%
Credit River Township	1,596	121	987	454	34	1,502	99	930	439	34	0.2%
Elko New Market	1,114	390	596	117	11	1,018	354	548	106	10	3.7%
Helena Township	649	140	328	144	37	494	67	265	128	34	0.2%
Jackson Township	421	47	226	129	19	234	21	133	67	13	0.0%
Jordan	1,789	472	925	313	79	1,266	335	682	199	50	5.5%
Louisville Township	403	32	250	108	13	384	28	238	105	13	1.3%
New Market Township	1,177	80	733	325	39	1,110	67	694	311	38	0.0%
New Prague (Scott Co part)	1,293	386	489	246	172	906	270	366	176	94	12.6%
Prior Lake	8,055	1,422	4,261	2,055	317	6,912	1,089	3,756	1,805	262	20.7%
St. Lawrence Township	171	13	101	51	6	165	11	97	51	6	0.0%
Sand Creek Township	522	41	277	175	29	476	31	253	166	26	0.0%
Savage	8,909	1,675	5,346	1,699	189	8,008	1,406	4,957	1,497	148	16.2%
Shakopee	12,394	3,660	6,015	2,226	493	9,830	2,718	5,001	1,753	358	31.9%
Spring Lake Township	1,263	86	738	391	48	1,189	68	698	377	46	0.0%
<b>Washington County</b>											
Afton	1,064	45	483	466	70	970	35	436	435	64	0.0%
Bayport	752	114	311	210	117	549	68	240	161	80	4.0%
Baytown Township	556	19	295	214	28	524	15	278	206	25	0.2%
Birchwood Village	355	13	143	158	41	328	10	125	153	40	0.0%

**EXCENSUS™ DEMOGRAPHIC CHANGE REPORT - CITY COMPARISON TABLES - AGE AND HOMEOWNERSHIP in 2007**

	Total Households	Householder Age Distribution (2007)				Home Ownership (2007)					Percent Not SFD
		Under 35	35 to 54	55 to 74	75+	All HHs	Under 35	35 to 54	55 to 74	75+	
<b>Washington County (continued)</b>											
Cottage Grove	11,420	2,151	5,952	2,888	429	9,750	1,541	5,205	2,628	376	7.3%
Dellwood	394	15	191	154	34	357	12	177	142	26	0.0%
Denmark Township	601	37	300	226	38	551	25	280	212	34	2.2%
Forest Lake	6,870	1,353	3,067	1,985	465	5,157	798	2,431	1,621	307	11.8%
Grant	1,507	54	761	610	82	1,377	35	690	581	71	0.2%
Grey Cloud Island Township	108	7	46	40	15	101	7	42	37	15	0.0%
Hugo	4,204	1,067	2,006	999	132	3,028	521	1,584	803	120	16.6%
Lake Elmo	2,772	217	1,499	922	134	2,158	96	1,169	773	120	0.4%
Lakeland	697	62	351	252	32	636	47	321	237	31	0.9%
Lakeland Shores	113	12	45	46	10	109	11	44	44	10	0.0%
Lake St. Croix Beach	455	54	240	131	30	399	42	207	125	25	3.8%
Landfall	269	34	137	92	6	-	-	-	-	-	0.0%
Mahtomedi	2,754	220	1,469	798	267	2,325	141	1,329	707	148	8.0%
Marine on St. Croix	284	7	133	114	30	253	5	117	103	28	6.7%
May Township	1,079	59	499	451	70	986	48	458	419	61	0.1%
Newport	1,342	247	608	381	106	935	133	434	295	73	2.4%
Oakdale	10,821	1,987	5,108	2,924	802	7,589	920	3,936	2,240	493	16.8%
Oak Park Heights	1,696	195	678	467	356	867	79	458	263	67	4.0%
Pine Springs	141	3	55	76	7	134	2	51	74	7	0.0%
St. Marys Point	154	7	73	61	13	134	5	61	56	12	0.0%
St. Paul Park	1,976	422	906	498	150	1,476	264	706	379	127	2.4%
Scandia	1,568	82	773	614	99	1,408	72	710	553	73	0.1%
Stillwater	6,736	958	3,227	2,010	541	4,912	466	2,496	1,572	378	4.9%
Stillwater Township	879	40	439	359	41	832	26	419	347	40	0.2%
West Lakeland Township	1,269	53	792	392	32	1,219	48	762	377	32	0.1%
White Bear Lake (Wash Co part)	138	10	68	16	44	89	9	67	12	1	0.0%
Willernie	180	30	94	40	16	152	22	76	39	15	0.0%
Woodbury	21,038	3,980	11,046	5,072	940	15,030	1,731	8,594	4,009	696	15.8%

Source: Excensus LLC, Twin Cities Household Profiles and Mobility Tracking (2004-2007)

**EXCENSUS™ DEMOGRAPHIC CHANGE REPORT - CITY COMPARISON TABLES - HOUSEHOLD TURNOVER (2004 to 2007)**

	Owner Moves	Homeowner Turnover Rate (2004-2007)					Renter Moves	Renter Turnover Rate (2004-2007)				
		All HHs	Under 35	35 to 54	55 to 74	75+		All HHs	Under 35	35 to 54	55 to 74	75+
<b>Anoka County</b>												
Andover	893	3.4%	5.7%	3.3%	2.6%	2.4%	254	14.9%	18.1%	15.4%	12.5%	8.8%
Anoka	542	4.6%	8.3%	4.6%	3.3%	2.6%	1,491	16.4%	23.4%	14.5%	10.6%	10.2%
Bethel	20	4.9%	9.7%	3.1%	3.9%	0.0%	3	4.8%	0.0%	9.1%	11.1%	0.0%
Blaine (Anoka Co part)	1,994	4.4%	7.1%	4.0%	3.1%	2.9%	1,494	11.7%	17.5%	11.1%	7.0%	8.7%
Nowthen (Prev. BurnsTwp.)	82	2.5%	5.0%	2.1%	2.7%	0.9%	22	9.3%	12.9%	9.0%	6.8%	16.7%
Centerville	146	4.2%	7.9%	3.5%	3.1%	1.4%	42	13.4%	11.8%	17.9%	9.8%	0.0%
Circle Pines	189	3.7%	5.2%	4.0%	2.6%	2.4%	51	10.7%	9.2%	10.8%	9.8%	14.1%
Columbia Heights	738	4.6%	8.4%	4.4%	2.8%	4.3%	887	14.5%	19.1%	14.3%	10.0%	11.2%
Columbus	75	2.0%	3.4%	2.0%	1.6%	2.7%	27	11.6%	13.0%	10.3%	14.9%	7.1%
Coon Rapids	2,471	4.6%	8.4%	4.2%	3.4%	3.4%	2,806	16.8%	22.9%	15.3%	10.6%	8.3%
East Bethel	274	2.8%	4.6%	2.4%	2.7%	3.2%	170	10.2%	12.7%	10.2%	7.1%	10.6%
Fridley	908	4.4%	8.1%	4.4%	3.2%	3.7%	2,020	16.4%	21.0%	15.5%	10.7%	8.7%
Ham Lake	339	2.6%	4.6%	2.5%	1.9%	2.2%	160	10.4%	10.0%	11.5%	7.6%	13.8%
Hilltop	75	11.3%	21.0%	12.2%	5.5%	5.1%	52	11.8%	14.8%	12.1%	6.0%	0.0%
Lexington	45	3.7%	8.5%	3.1%	1.9%	2.9%	147	12.7%	20.3%	10.1%	6.1%	11.1%
Lino Lakes	517	3.2%	5.8%	2.8%	2.6%	3.5%	97	10.1%	11.7%	8.0%	14.2%	9.1%
Linwood Township	104	2.8%	7.9%	2.1%	2.5%	1.9%	26	10.6%	13.6%	8.2%	12.2%	25.0%
Oak Grove	160	2.2%	2.5%	2.3%	1.9%	2.9%	43	8.7%	12.6%	8.8%	4.3%	5.6%
Ramsey	659	3.4%	5.7%	2.8%	2.9%	3.2%	243	13.2%	14.5%	14.1%	8.8%	10.6%
St. Francis	264	5.0%	7.7%	3.6%	4.2%	2.2%	218	12.2%	15.9%	12.2%	6.7%	12.3%
Spring Lake Park (Anoka Co part)	236	4.2%	9.0%	4.0%	2.7%	4.7%	313	13.8%	21.6%	14.1%	8.8%	7.8%
<b>Carver County</b>												
Benton Township	18	2.1%	10.9%	1.0%	1.0%	6.5%	6	20.0%	22.2%	21.4%	0.0%	50.0%
Camden Township	24	2.5%	4.0%	2.1%	1.9%	6.2%	1	4.2%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Carver	63	2.9%	3.0%	2.9%	2.8%	0.0%	16	10.1%	10.3%	11.9%	0.0%	0.0%
Chanhassen (Carv Co part)	920	4.6%	10.5%	3.8%	3.6%	3.1%	363	14.9%	21.1%	13.7%	9.5%	11.0%
Chaska	791	4.8%	7.6%	4.2%	4.1%	3.4%	1,054	14.0%	19.1%	13.4%	9.9%	6.0%
Cologne	62	5.3%	7.0%	4.6%	3.0%	11.1%	26	15.3%	19.6%	19.6%	11.5%	0.0%
Dahlgren Township	25	1.8%	0.9%	2.2%	1.1%	3.8%	13	12.9%	31.3%	8.6%	9.1%	20.0%
Hamburg	28	5.7%	9.2%	4.8%	5.0%	7.1%	15	20.0%	14.3%	25.8%	20.0%	0.0%
Hancock Township	7	1.9%	2.4%	0.6%	0.8%	10.0%	1	14.3%	25.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Hollywood Township	23	2.2%	5.4%	2.2%	1.2%	2.1%	2	2.9%	0.0%	5.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Laketown Township	50	3.0%	8.6%	1.8%	3.6%	7.3%	7	7.5%	13.8%	5.3%	0.0%	12.5%

**EXCENSUS™ DEMOGRAPHIC CHANGE REPORT - CITY COMPARISON TABLES - HOUSEHOLD TURNOVER (2004 to 2007)**

	Owner Moves	Homeowner Turnover Rate (2004-2007)					Renter Moves	Renter Turnover Rate (2004-2007)				
		All HHs	Under 35	35 to 54	55 to 74	75+		All HHs	Under 35	35 to 54	55 to 74	75+
<b>Carver County (continued)</b>												
Mayer	48	4.4%	6.0%	3.7%	1.4%	6.5%	11	13.4%	19.0%	12.9%	15.8%	0.0%
New Germany	12	4.7%	7.7%	2.1%	1.4%	12.8%	8	16.0%	23.5%	17.6%	14.3%	0.0%
Norwood Young America	86	4.0%	5.7%	3.9%	2.8%	2.9%	50	10.9%	15.7%	9.9%	10.8%	3.1%
San Francisco Township	23	2.6%	8.8%	2.7%	0.7%	2.5%	7	20.6%	0.0%	29.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Victoria	162	3.0%	3.4%	3.0%	2.9%	2.4%	39	10.7%	21.0%	10.0%	5.8%	10.5%
Waconia	426	5.1%	7.6%	4.3%	4.5%	4.1%	155	10.6%	21.2%	10.9%	3.9%	5.3%
Waconia Township	22	1.8%	6.2%	1.6%	1.0%	2.7%	6	8.6%	0.0%	12.8%	4.8%	0.0%
Watertown	110	4.4%	5.8%	3.7%	4.3%	4.5%	98	12.5%	17.8%	13.6%	6.1%	8.1%
Watertown Township	23	1.8%	3.9%	1.7%	1.4%	2.8%	4	4.5%	0.0%	7.1%	2.9%	0.0%
Young America Township	19	2.2%	5.9%	2.1%	1.2%	1.8%	7	13.7%	16.7%	3.6%	36.4%	0.0%
<b>Dakota County</b>												
Apple Valley	2,100	4.7%	11.1%	4.3%	2.8%	4.8%	1,636	15.5%	21.0%	14.1%	10.8%	8.6%
Burnsville	2,162	4.6%	11.4%	4.3%	3.0%	5.1%	4,880	18.3%	22.9%	16.5%	11.2%	8.9%
Castle Rock Township	32	2.5%	5.6%	2.4%	1.9%	5.0%	8	11.8%	20.0%	7.5%	16.7%	0.0%
Coates	7	5.3%	15.0%	5.9%	1.6%	0.0%	7	11.7%	16.7%	7.9%	0.0%	100.0%
Douglas Township	18	2.7%	2.8%	2.8%	2.5%	2.6%	-	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Eagan	2,555	4.6%	11.2%	3.9%	3.1%	4.1%	3,462	18.0%	21.8%	16.6%	12.7%	6.6%
Empire Township	54	2.8%	6.6%	1.9%	2.2%	3.4%	31	12.4%	12.1%	13.5%	10.8%	0.0%
Eureka Township	20	1.4%	6.3%	1.2%	1.3%	2.2%	17	13.6%	20.0%	14.9%	3.2%	14.3%
Farmington	1,015	5.9%	9.2%	5.1%	3.3%	6.6%	306	14.5%	18.8%	13.1%	10.7%	11.4%
Greenvale Township	15	2.2%	6.8%	2.3%	1.1%	0.0%	6	13.0%	12.0%	14.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Hampton	35	6.5%	8.8%	7.4%	2.1%	0.0%	9	14.3%	12.0%	14.7%	25.0%	0.0%
Hampton Township	16	1.8%	2.8%	2.4%	0.3%	3.7%	7	10.9%	25.0%	3.8%	6.7%	0.0%
Hastings (Dak Co part)	751	4.2%	7.9%	4.1%	2.7%	4.6%	732	12.9%	17.8%	13.0%	7.4%	8.1%
Inver Grove Heights	1,108	4.0%	7.4%	3.4%	3.1%	4.8%	1,655	15.4%	20.0%	14.4%	10.2%	8.3%
Lakeville	1,724	4.1%	7.5%	3.7%	3.0%	4.4%	981	12.8%	17.2%	11.9%	8.2%	10.1%
Lilydale	26	2.9%	18.8%	3.6%	1.7%	3.3%	1	1.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	5.3%
Marshan Township	22	1.9%	4.5%	1.4%	1.9%	2.4%	10	7.9%	7.7%	7.1%	13.3%	0.0%
Mendota	3	2.3%	6.3%	1.9%	0.0%	7.7%	4	14.3%	25.0%	23.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Mendota Heights	303	2.6%	5.5%	2.5%	2.5%	2.7%	236	14.4%	21.5%	15.3%	8.8%	9.9%
Miesville	2	1.7%	14.3%	0.0%	2.1%	0.0%	1	7.1%	12.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
New Trier	3	3.2%	0.0%	6.5%	0.0%	0.0%	-	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Nininger Township	18	2.1%	2.0%	2.8%	0.3%	10.0%	4	9.1%	10.0%	7.1%	16.7%	0.0%
Northfield (Dak Co part)	30	3.4%	6.2%	3.0%	3.2%	1.0%	-	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

**EXCENSUS™ DEMOGRAPHIC CHANGE REPORT - CITY COMPARISON TABLES - HOUSEHOLD TURNOVER (2004 to 2007)**

	Owner Moves	Homeowner Turnover Rate (2004-2007)					Renter Moves	Renter Turnover Rate (2004-2007)				
		All HHs	Under 35	35 to 54	55 to 74	75+		All HHs	Under 35	35 to 54	55 to 74	75+
<b>Dakota County (continued)</b>												
Randolph	17	5.1%	4.4%	4.6%	5.8%	7.1%	7	13.2%	11.1%	13.5%	14.3%	0.0%
Randolph Township	23	4.2%	15.4%	4.3%	1.9%	0.0%	3	9.7%	9.1%	16.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Ravenna Township	53	2.4%	2.6%	2.6%	2.0%	0.0%	6	7.9%	0.0%	10.8%	5.3%	10.0%
Rosemount	696	4.1%	7.5%	3.6%	2.8%	5.8%	353	14.0%	19.3%	13.2%	9.1%	6.9%
Sciota Township	10	3.1%	4.4%	4.2%	0.0%	5.0%	1	10.0%	0.0%	0.0%	50.0%	0.0%
South St. Paul	789	4.6%	8.2%	3.9%	3.1%	5.1%	765	13.8%	17.3%	13.4%	9.0%	11.3%
Sunfish Lake	15	3.0%	11.1%	4.0%	1.8%	2.2%	1	3.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	16.7%
Vermillion	23	7.0%	8.7%	6.2%	3.2%	21.1%	1	8.3%	0.0%	12.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Vermillion Township	19	1.7%	3.2%	1.3%	1.9%	1.8%	7	7.2%	6.7%	9.3%	4.0%	0.0%
Waterford Township	19	4.1%	10.2%	3.1%	1.7%	10.9%	3	9.7%	14.3%	7.7%	12.5%	0.0%
West St. Paul	678	4.5%	7.8%	4.2%	3.4%	5.3%	1,336	13.9%	19.7%	14.2%	9.2%	8.3%
<b>Hennepin County</b>												
Bloomington	2,214	3.3%	7.1%	3.3%	2.5%	3.3%	5,559	14.0%	20.6%	14.2%	8.4%	7.1%
Brooklyn Center	1,023	4.7%	6.8%	4.8%	3.7%	3.9%	1,840	17.3%	21.0%	16.7%	13.5%	11.6%
Brooklyn Park	2,682	5.0%	7.5%	4.7%	4.0%	3.6%	4,122	17.6%	21.1%	17.2%	10.9%	8.1%
Champlin	817	4.0%	7.2%	3.5%	3.5%	2.7%	634	14.9%	19.9%	15.0%	8.4%	6.0%
Corcoran	131	2.7%	5.6%	2.5%	2.6%	1.3%	92	14.0%	18.1%	12.4%	11.3%	44.4%
Crystal	950	4.5%	7.6%	4.2%	3.2%	4.1%	985	15.5%	21.8%	15.3%	10.9%	7.6%
Dayton (Henn Co part)	108	2.7%	4.6%	2.7%	2.4%	3.0%	107	12.6%	17.9%	11.6%	7.5%	23.1%
Deephaven	99	2.6%	7.4%	2.5%	2.1%	2.2%	25	11.0%	9.7%	13.0%	8.3%	8.0%
Eden Prairie	1,794	4.0%	7.7%	3.6%	3.6%	3.7%	3,557	14.7%	18.7%	14.0%	9.3%	6.5%
Edina	1,245	3.3%	6.7%	3.2%	2.7%	4.0%	2,357	10.0%	18.1%	12.4%	6.1%	6.5%
Excelsior	58	4.2%	14.8%	3.2%	2.9%	3.1%	308	15.0%	21.0%	14.0%	9.3%	10.6%
Fort Snelling (unorganized)	-	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	-	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Golden Valley	735	3.8%	8.4%	3.6%	2.8%	3.5%	712	10.9%	18.8%	12.1%	6.8%	5.6%
Greenfield	58	2.5%	3.5%	2.5%	1.9%	4.7%	16	13.1%	16.7%	10.0%	13.0%	40.0%
Greenwood	28	3.7%	12.1%	3.2%	3.2%	4.3%	28	19.7%	12.5%	19.4%	21.6%	28.6%
Hanover (Henn Co part)	9	1.9%	3.2%	1.3%	2.0%	11.1%	4	11.4%	0.0%	23.1%	20.0%	0.0%
Hassan Township	66	2.8%	5.4%	2.7%	2.3%	1.7%	13	4.0%	4.1%	3.2%	5.9%	0.0%
Hopkins	452	5.3%	10.1%	4.9%	3.5%	4.6%	2,395	15.1%	19.4%	14.2%	9.7%	9.7%
Independence	97	2.8%	8.6%	3.0%	1.9%	1.3%	22	10.4%	17.9%	10.0%	4.7%	18.2%
Long Lake	73	4.6%	4.0%	5.3%	4.0%	2.4%	67	14.3%	16.9%	14.7%	9.3%	14.3%
Loretto	29	4.6%	7.2%	5.0%	3.1%	0.0%	8	9.2%	6.7%	7.4%	13.3%	6.7%
Maple Grove	2,236	4.3%	8.1%	3.7%	3.5%	5.5%	1,571	13.2%	17.2%	12.5%	7.7%	10.3%

**EXCENSUS™ DEMOGRAPHIC CHANGE REPORT - CITY COMPARISON TABLES - HOUSEHOLD TURNOVER (2004 to 2007)**

	Owner Moves	Homeowner Turnover Rate (2004-2007)					Renter Moves	Renter Turnover Rate (2004-2007)				
		All HHs	Under 35	35 to 54	55 to 74	75+		All HHs	Under 35	35 to 54	55 to 74	75+
<b>Hennepin County (continued)</b>												
Maple Plain	43	3.0%	7.5%	3.1%	1.3%	1.7%	111	15.9%	24.6%	12.2%	13.6%	10.5%
Medicine Lake	12	3.6%	7.7%	2.6%	2.4%	9.7%	16	12.8%	24.4%	6.3%	9.1%	0.0%
Medina	103	2.5%	4.6%	2.4%	2.3%	2.7%	43	7.7%	16.7%	12.2%	4.4%	0.0%
Minneapolis	11,455	5.2%	9.3%	4.9%	3.1%	4.4%	30,672	14.0%	16.4%	13.5%	8.6%	9.0%
Minnetonka	1,323	3.1%	6.6%	3.0%	2.6%	3.4%	2,985	13.8%	20.6%	14.4%	7.6%	6.6%
Minnetonka Beach	16	2.8%	0.0%	3.0%	2.8%	2.3%	11	15.5%	14.3%	19.4%	16.7%	0.0%
Minnetrista	156	2.9%	4.8%	2.8%	2.4%	3.2%	53	11.0%	4.9%	10.0%	14.5%	27.3%
Mound	359	3.9%	8.1%	3.8%	2.6%	2.3%	353	12.1%	17.3%	12.0%	8.9%	6.8%
New Hope	579	4.2%	6.9%	4.5%	3.1%	3.1%	1,685	15.6%	21.2%	15.6%	9.3%	8.3%
Orono	232	3.1%	6.4%	3.2%	2.3%	4.0%	134	11.1%	14.9%	11.8%	8.1%	11.0%
Osseo	83	4.7%	8.8%	3.9%	2.9%	7.8%	193	14.3%	23.1%	14.6%	7.4%	6.7%
Plymouth	1,961	3.6%	7.9%	3.4%	2.8%	3.0%	3,980	14.9%	20.2%	14.2%	8.7%	7.0%
Richfield	1,138	4.0%	6.7%	3.9%	2.6%	4.5%	2,494	15.2%	22.3%	14.7%	8.5%	6.2%
Robbinsdale	631	5.0%	8.0%	4.8%	3.5%	3.3%	688	15.6%	20.6%	17.6%	11.7%	8.5%
Rockford (Henn Co part)	9	4.9%	5.9%	4.7%	5.8%	0.0%	3	17.6%	0.0%	16.7%	33.3%	0.0%
Rogers	290	4.7%	7.0%	4.0%	3.7%	1.1%	163	16.3%	23.1%	15.4%	8.5%	5.3%
St. Anthony (Henn Co part)	144	2.8%	5.9%	2.7%	2.1%	2.9%	135	10.3%	20.7%	10.5%	5.5%	8.1%
St. Bonifacius	96	4.7%	6.4%	4.7%	3.1%	3.7%	49	11.5%	17.2%	6.8%	10.4%	0.0%
St. Louis Park	1,617	4.7%	8.0%	4.7%	2.6%	4.4%	4,352	15.9%	20.0%	14.5%	10.0%	8.8%
Shorewood	224	3.1%	8.6%	3.0%	2.6%	3.2%	74	12.1%	9.4%	13.6%	11.1%	11.1%
Spring Park	19	3.0%	6.2%	3.2%	2.6%	0.0%	207	13.4%	20.8%	15.5%	6.1%	7.9%
Tonka Bay	48	2.9%	4.8%	3.7%	1.9%	1.0%	25	10.6%	17.1%	15.4%	3.4%	0.0%
Wayzata	114	4.1%	12.2%	4.2%	2.6%	3.7%	296	12.1%	18.4%	14.0%	7.0%	8.3%
Woodland	14	2.9%	16.7%	3.4%	1.4%	0.0%	4	8.2%	9.1%	4.8%	13.3%	0.0%
<b>Ramsey County</b>												
Arden Hills	246	3.5%	8.6%	3.2%	2.9%	3.0%	180	10.3%	12.1%	10.7%	7.4%	11.2%
Falcon Heights	116	3.2%	3.1%	3.2%	2.5%	4.4%	206	12.5%	14.3%	13.7%	6.3%	5.9%
Gem Lake	6	1.5%	15.0%	1.0%	0.0%	2.3%	6	14.3%	20.0%	20.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Lauderdale	58	4.1%	8.4%	3.7%	2.5%	3.8%	297	15.2%	17.4%	15.0%	6.8%	11.5%
Little Canada	279	3.9%	9.1%	3.4%	3.2%	4.3%	853	14.4%	21.6%	12.9%	7.4%	7.0%
Maplewood	1,122	3.7%	7.1%	3.6%	2.8%	3.6%	1,670	13.7%	20.3%	13.1%	8.6%	9.0%
Mounds View	344	3.9%	9.1%	3.3%	2.7%	4.2%	912	14.8%	20.8%	12.7%	9.9%	8.0%
New Brighton	590	3.4%	7.0%	3.3%	2.7%	3.4%	1,514	15.5%	20.5%	14.2%	10.0%	9.7%
North Oaks	97	2.3%	5.1%	2.0%	2.2%	3.5%	17	7.8%	0.0%	5.6%	15.0%	5.9%



**EXCENSUS™ DEMOGRAPHIC CHANGE REPORT - CITY COMPARISON TABLES - HOUSEHOLD TURNOVER (2004 to 2007)**

	Owner Moves	Homeowner Turnover Rate (2004-2007)					Renter Moves	Renter Turnover Rate (2004-2007)				
		All HHs	Under 35	35 to 54	55 to 74	75+		All HHs	Under 35	35 to 54	55 to 74	75+
<b>Ramsey County (continued)</b>												
North St. Paul	364	3.6%	6.5%	3.5%	2.4%	4.1%	578	15.6%	21.4%	15.3%	9.1%	9.4%
Roseville	1,092	3.7%	7.6%	3.5%	2.6%	4.3%	1,908	14.7%	19.4%	13.7%	9.6%	10.1%
St. Anthony (Rams Co part)	78	5.9%	17.8%	5.3%	4.4%	3.7%	402	15.8%	20.6%	14.5%	9.8%	8.2%
St. Paul	8,607	5.0%	8.6%	4.7%	3.3%	4.7%	18,101	14.7%	17.7%	13.8%	10.4%	9.9%
Shoreview	962	3.7%	8.4%	3.4%	2.9%	3.6%	749	14.4%	20.9%	13.8%	9.1%	6.3%
Spring Lake Park (Rams Co part)	9	5.8%	20.0%	6.2%	3.0%	0.0%	7	14.3%	33.3%	12.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Vadnais Heights	471	3.9%	9.4%	3.4%	2.7%	3.6%	431	13.2%	20.2%	10.8%	7.7%	6.0%
White Bear Township	432	3.6%	6.7%	3.6%	2.8%	3.7%	114	11.6%	18.5%	10.7%	8.7%	10.3%
White Bear Lake (Rams Co part)	736	3.5%	7.7%	3.3%	2.5%	3.4%	1,349	16.6%	21.3%	16.7%	12.2%	8.4%
<b>Scott County</b>												
Belle Plaine	290	5.7%	7.8%	5.0%	3.6%	6.2%	140	11.7%	19.5%	9.5%	6.4%	9.0%
Belle Plaine Township	15	1.7%	4.0%	1.9%	0.9%	1.2%	4	6.7%	0.0%	15.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Blakeley Township	11	2.4%	5.9%	0.9%	2.7%	6.3%	7	20.6%	28.6%	20.0%	16.7%	0.0%
Cedar Lake Township	86	3.5%	4.9%	3.4%	2.9%	5.4%	11	7.5%	9.5%	7.0%	7.9%	0.0%
Credit River Township	99	2.3%	4.8%	1.9%	2.5%	5.3%	29	12.9%	17.5%	13.6%	6.7%	0.0%
Elko New Market	116	4.4%	5.0%	3.7%	5.4%	4.3%	19	12.3%	9.7%	13.5%	16.7%	0.0%
Helena Township	53	3.7%	9.8%	3.4%	2.6%	2.3%	20	5.6%	2.4%	9.0%	3.8%	28.6%
Jackson Township	17	2.7%	4.5%	2.6%	2.3%	2.9%	40	7.0%	14.3%	6.8%	4.5%	0.0%
Jordan	126	3.6%	5.5%	3.0%	2.4%	1.6%	168	11.5%	16.6%	10.5%	7.5%	11.3%
Louisville Township	22	2.0%	4.4%	1.9%	1.7%	0.0%	4	7.5%	0.0%	5.9%	18.2%	0.0%
New Market Township	79	2.5%	6.7%	2.4%	1.2%	4.0%	19	10.2%	23.1%	8.2%	5.0%	20.0%
New Prague (Scott Co part)	164	6.1%	10.7%	6.1%	2.7%	2.8%	130	11.1%	16.2%	13.2%	7.0%	5.9%
Prior Lake	914	4.6%	7.7%	4.1%	3.4%	4.1%	441	14.2%	18.4%	13.9%	10.7%	3.4%
St. Lawrence Township	6	1.2%	4.8%	1.0%	0.8%	0.0%	-	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Sand Creek Township	24	1.7%	6.4%	1.7%	0.7%	1.4%	13	10.1%	29.2%	4.4%	6.3%	20.0%
Savage	1,175	5.1%	9.0%	4.4%	3.5%	3.3%	395	15.7%	19.8%	15.7%	11.1%	12.9%
Shakopee	1,621	5.9%	8.3%	5.3%	4.1%	2.9%	1,116	16.0%	21.3%	15.1%	9.9%	7.7%
Spring Lake Township	71	2.0%	6.0%	1.7%	1.8%	1.6%	31	14.2%	11.4%	17.1%	7.1%	20.0%
<b>Washington County</b>												
Afton	50	1.7%	2.1%	1.6%	1.9%	1.2%	24	8.7%	16.0%	7.5%	9.0%	6.7%
Bayport	50	3.0%	7.3%	2.7%	0.6%	5.2%	68	11.9%	21.0%	11.7%	5.3%	10.0%
Baytown Township	27	1.7%	5.8%	0.7%	2.7%	4.7%	3	3.6%	0.0%	5.1%	3.8%	0.0%
Birchwood Village	30	3.0%	13.5%	2.7%	2.5%	2.7%	9	11.0%	22.2%	11.1%	6.7%	0.0%

**EXCENSUS™ DEMOGRAPHIC CHANGE REPORT - CITY COMPARISON TABLES - HOUSEHOLD TURNOVER (2004 to 2007)**

	Owner Moves	Homeowner Turnover Rate (2004-2007)					Renter Moves	Renter Turnover Rate (2004-2007)				
		All HHs	Under 35	35 to 54	55 to 74	75+		All HHs	Under 35	35 to 54	55 to 74	75+
<b>Washington County (continued)</b>												
Cottage Grove	1,186	4.1%	6.2%	4.0%	3.2%	2.5%	638	13.6%	15.2%	13.5%	10.6%	11.1%
Dellwood	34	3.2%	0.0%	2.8%	4.2%	1.6%	10	8.5%	20.0%	14.6%	4.7%	0.0%
Denmark Township	27	1.7%	1.1%	1.6%	1.3%	5.6%	8	6.5%	15.4%	5.2%	3.2%	0.0%
Forest Lake	579	3.9%	6.4%	3.7%	2.4%	5.4%	766	15.5%	21.9%	15.6%	8.6%	7.4%
Grant	76	1.9%	2.4%	1.8%	1.9%	1.1%	24	9.7%	16.1%	5.8%	13.0%	10.5%
Grey Cloud Island Township	8	2.6%	8.7%	2.3%	1.9%	2.3%	-	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Hugo	279	3.4%	6.6%	2.9%	2.0%	2.5%	188	7.4%	8.3%	6.6%	6.0%	13.6%
Lake Elmo	167	2.6%	4.3%	2.6%	2.1%	4.3%	174	9.5%	14.6%	8.5%	7.0%	11.9%
Lakeland	50	2.6%	2.9%	2.6%	2.3%	4.6%	27	13.8%	16.0%	11.6%	15.2%	20.0%
Lakeland Shores	7	2.2%	5.3%	1.7%	1.9%	3.7%	1	8.3%	33.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Lake St. Croix Beach	40	3.3%	6.3%	3.4%	2.0%	3.4%	22	13.5%	14.3%	12.0%	12.0%	27.3%
Landfall	-	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	49	6.1%	11.8%	5.9%	2.5%	14.7%
Mahtomedi	215	3.1%	7.5%	3.0%	2.4%	2.3%	172	13.9%	21.0%	16.3%	7.9%	11.0%
Marine on St. Croix	27	3.6%	11.5%	3.1%	3.1%	5.6%	8	8.2%	0.0%	5.9%	8.8%	33.3%
May Township	60	2.1%	3.6%	1.9%	2.3%	0.6%	22	7.7%	13.8%	9.1%	6.4%	0.0%
Newport	78	2.8%	5.8%	2.3%	1.8%	3.6%	176	14.4%	19.8%	14.5%	8.1%	7.5%
Oakdale	879	3.9%	7.1%	3.6%	2.9%	3.5%	1,220	12.6%	17.3%	12.2%	8.9%	6.7%
Oak Park Heights	88	3.5%	7.1%	3.1%	2.0%	6.8%	232	9.4%	16.4%	12.3%	7.4%	6.1%
Pine Springs	7	1.8%	0.0%	1.9%	2.0%	0.0%	2	9.5%	0.0%	18.2%	0.0%	0.0%
St. Marys Point	17	4.3%	10.0%	5.2%	3.4%	0.0%	1	1.9%	0.0%	3.4%	0.0%	0.0%
St. Paul Park	207	4.7%	8.4%	4.1%	3.4%	5.0%	139	9.8%	11.1%	10.8%	6.4%	7.5%
Scandia	71	1.7%	2.9%	1.6%	1.8%	1.6%	52	10.9%	18.4%	9.4%	7.7%	14.9%
Stillwater	509	3.5%	5.8%	3.5%	2.6%	4.2%	643	12.3%	16.7%	12.2%	8.6%	8.5%
Stillwater Township	41	1.7%	3.2%	1.6%	1.8%	1.0%	18	14.2%	10.5%	12.5%	17.1%	33.3%
West Lakeland Township	69	1.9%	1.6%	1.8%	2.0%	4.8%	10	7.2%	10.5%	3.9%	11.1%	16.7%
White Bear Lake (Wash Co part)	8	3.0%	10.3%	2.0%	0.0%	0.0%	5	3.4%	0.0%	0.0%	6.3%	3.2%
Willernie	31	9.1%	12.7%	8.1%	8.2%	11.5%	13	22.0%	43.8%	16.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Woodbury	1,581	3.7%	5.8%	3.5%	3.2%	3.5%	2,287	14.1%	16.8%	13.7%	9.9%	9.0%

Source: Excensus LLC, Twin Cities Household Profiles and Mobility Tracking (2004-2007)

**EXCENSUS™ DEMOGRAPHIC CHANGE REPORT - CITY COMPARISON TABLES - HOUSEHOLD RETENTION (2004 to 2007)**

	Retained Owners	Percent of Moves that Start and End in Same City					Retained Renters	Percent of Moves that Start and End in Same City				
		All HHs	Under 35	35 to 54	55 to 74	75+		All HHs	Under 35	35 to 54	55 to 74	75+
<b>Anoka County</b>												
Andover	121	22.7%	13.5%	27.4%	17.1%	25.0%	29	20.3%	14.0%	20.5%	35.3%	33.3%
Anoka	45	15.1%	7.4%	17.1%	19.6%	42.9%	190	23.8%	21.2%	24.5%	25.9%	61.1%
Bethel	1	11.1%	20.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	-	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Blaine (Anoka Co part)	263	20.9%	19.0%	22.1%	21.7%	14.3%	222	24.5%	24.9%	23.2%	26.2%	31.6%
Nowthen (Prev. BurnsTwp.)	8	27.6%	14.3%	37.5%	16.7%	0.0%	2	22.2%	0.0%	28.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Centerville	9	9.1%	8.8%	7.5%	18.2%	0.0%	1	3.6%	0.0%	0.0%	33.3%	0.0%
Circle Pines	7	6.6%	0.0%	5.7%	4.8%	100.0%	1	3.2%	0.0%	6.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Columbia Heights	57	13.6%	7.4%	14.8%	22.9%	12.9%	98	19.3%	14.8%	18.8%	28.8%	36.0%
Columbus	2	5.7%	0.0%	8.3%	0.0%	0.0%	2	15.4%	0.0%	14.3%	50.0%	0.0%
Coon Rapids	300	20.7%	19.0%	22.0%	19.8%	23.7%	577	33.9%	32.3%	35.2%	35.4%	45.2%
East Bethel	16	13.6%	16.7%	15.4%	0.0%	25.0%	15	18.5%	17.9%	21.4%	11.1%	0.0%
Fridley	42	8.1%	5.3%	11.3%	5.8%	5.6%	245	20.4%	18.9%	21.4%	21.4%	33.3%
Ham Lake	27	13.4%	15.9%	14.0%	6.3%	25.0%	11	13.9%	0.0%	19.0%	21.4%	0.0%
Hilltop	1	3.3%	0.0%	7.7%	0.0%	0.0%	5	16.7%	12.5%	25.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Lexington	-	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	6	7.3%	7.3%	8.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Lino Lakes	43	13.0%	8.1%	14.1%	17.4%	0.0%	9	16.1%	20.0%	20.8%	0.0%	0.0%
Linwood Township	1	2.6%	6.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1	16.7%	0.0%	33.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Oak Grove	9	11.4%	23.1%	10.9%	5.0%	0.0%	8	34.8%	40.0%	30.0%	33.3%	0.0%
Ramsey	67	20.8%	17.1%	23.8%	20.0%	0.0%	28	21.2%	15.2%	25.4%	26.7%	0.0%
St. Francis	19	14.8%	17.5%	11.8%	16.7%	0.0%	33	28.9%	25.0%	30.9%	41.7%	0.0%
Spring Lake Park (Anoka Co part)	10	7.6%	7.9%	7.3%	6.7%	11.1%	19	11.0%	9.2%	10.3%	12.5%	33.3%
<b>Carver County</b>												
Benton Township	-	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	-	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Camden Township	2	25.0%	0.0%	25.0%	50.0%	0.0%	-	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Carver	5	11.9%	18.2%	10.7%	0.0%	0.0%	-	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Chanhassen (Carv Co part)	97	15.7%	10.9%	16.8%	17.6%	44.4%	45	17.8%	8.3%	18.3%	34.5%	58.3%
Chaska	126	24.9%	22.8%	23.9%	30.0%	66.7%	194	30.9%	28.2%	33.9%	27.7%	40.0%
Cologne	9	25.7%	23.5%	28.6%	33.3%	0.0%	3	27.3%	0.0%	0.0%	75.0%	0.0%
Dahlgren Township	4	30.8%	0.0%	25.0%	66.7%	0.0%	1	12.5%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%
Hamburg	2	18.2%	25.0%	20.0%	0.0%	0.0%	-	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Hancock Township	1	16.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	25.0%	-	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Hollywood Township	2	22.2%	0.0%	20.0%	0.0%	100.0%	1	100.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Laketown Township	4	14.3%	0.0%	25.0%	7.1%	33.3%	-	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

**EXCENSUS™ DEMOGRAPHIC CHANGE REPORT - CITY COMPARISON TABLES - HOUSEHOLD RETENTION (2004 to 2007)**

	Retained Owners	Percent of Moves that Start and End in Same City					Retained Renters	Percent of Moves that Start and End in Same City				
		All HHs	Under 35	35 to 54	55 to 74	75+		All HHs	Under 35	35 to 54	55 to 74	75+
<b>Carver County (continued)</b>												
Mayer	4	19.0%	16.7%	12.5%	100.0%	0.0%	-	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
New Germany	-	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	-	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Norwood Young America	17	34.7%	37.5%	28.0%	42.9%	100.0%	6	33.3%	28.6%	42.9%	25.0%	0.0%
San Francisco Township	-	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1	25.0%	0.0%	25.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Victoria	15	14.0%	10.0%	14.3%	17.4%	0.0%	1	6.7%	0.0%	12.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Waconia	84	31.2%	27.3%	28.6%	40.5%	66.7%	31	34.4%	31.0%	25.0%	62.5%	100.0%
Waconia Township	1	7.7%	0.0%	16.7%	0.0%	0.0%	-	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Watertown	11	22.0%	13.6%	27.3%	40.0%	0.0%	10	21.3%	23.1%	16.0%	20.0%	50.0%
Watertown Township	-	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	-	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Young America Township	-	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	-	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Dakota County</b>												
Apple Valley	230	17.0%	13.7%	19.7%	14.8%	23.1%	230	22.2%	19.4%	22.7%	28.7%	43.8%
Burnsville	235	17.5%	12.6%	17.2%	19.9%	42.6%	828	27.9%	25.3%	31.5%	30.1%	42.3%
Castle Rock Township	2	18.2%	66.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	-	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Coates	-	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1	25.0%	50.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Douglas Township	1	20.0%	0.0%	25.0%	0.0%	0.0%	-	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Eagan	342	20.8%	14.7%	24.2%	19.8%	25.0%	572	27.6%	24.3%	31.2%	34.0%	8.3%
Empire Township	1	3.4%	0.0%	7.7%	0.0%	0.0%	1	4.8%	10.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Eureka Township	1	14.3%	0.0%	0.0%	33.3%	0.0%	2	25.0%	0.0%	50.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Farmington	142	22.5%	21.0%	21.7%	32.1%	37.5%	52	27.7%	21.1%	34.3%	26.1%	60.0%
Greenvale Township	-	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	2	66.7%	50.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Hampton	3	12.5%	8.3%	18.2%	0.0%	0.0%	2	33.3%	50.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%
Hampton Township	-	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1	33.3%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Hastings (Dak Co part)	223	48.4%	42.5%	50.2%	50.5%	57.1%	214	54.0%	49.2%	51.9%	67.6%	94.4%
Inver Grove Heights	198	28.6%	15.4%	32.5%	34.9%	42.9%	235	23.5%	19.5%	26.6%	28.5%	37.5%
Lakeville	323	29.8%	27.4%	31.6%	26.3%	50.0%	170	28.7%	19.3%	34.2%	37.1%	50.0%
Lilydale	-	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	-	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Marshan Township	1	7.1%	20.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	-	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Mendota	-	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	-	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Mendota Heights	31	15.1%	15.0%	16.5%	13.2%	16.7%	26	17.9%	7.9%	22.2%	38.9%	20.0%
Miesville	1	50.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	-	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
New Trier	-	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	-	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Nininger Township	2	14.3%	0.0%	18.2%	0.0%	0.0%	1	33.3%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%
Northfield (Dak Co part)	2	20.0%	0.0%	25.0%	33.3%	0.0%	-	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

**EXCENSUS™ DEMOGRAPHIC CHANGE REPORT - CITY COMPARISON TABLES - HOUSEHOLD RETENTION (2004 to 2007)**

	Retained Owners	Percent of Moves that Start and End in Same City					Retained Renters	Percent of Moves that Start and End in Same City				
		All HHs	Under 35	35 to 54	55 to 74	75+		All HHs	Under 35	35 to 54	55 to 74	75+
<b>Dakota County (continued)</b>												
Randolph	1	25.0%	0.0%	0.0%	50.0%	0.0%	-	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Randolph Township	2	28.6%	33.3%	33.3%	0.0%	0.0%	-	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ravenna Township	4	12.9%	0.0%	20.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1	25.0%	0.0%	33.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Rosemount	124	25.5%	19.9%	29.2%	23.8%	30.0%	53	24.1%	20.6%	31.2%	12.5%	0.0%
Sciota Township	-	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	-	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
South St. Paul	83	17.7%	18.1%	17.8%	21.0%	0.0%	137	28.5%	23.9%	33.7%	26.0%	40.0%
Sunfish Lake	-	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	-	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Vermillion	-	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	-	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Vermillion Township	2	16.7%	50.0%	16.7%	0.0%	0.0%	1	20.0%	0.0%	25.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Waterford Township	-	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	-	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
West St. Paul	84	20.9%	14.3%	18.8%	26.3%	29.8%	221	28.6%	21.4%	30.3%	38.1%	48.6%
<b>Hennepin County</b>												
Bloomington	384	27.2%	15.1%	30.2%	24.9%	46.0%	1,120	32.5%	26.6%	36.9%	37.4%	45.1%
Brooklyn Center	57	9.5%	12.3%	10.5%	4.1%	8.9%	212	19.2%	18.8%	19.9%	14.8%	31.4%
Brooklyn Park	375	22.7%	20.0%	24.9%	21.0%	16.7%	790	31.0%	29.9%	32.3%	31.7%	28.6%
Champlin	94	19.0%	11.7%	21.7%	23.1%	0.0%	72	19.0%	18.0%	19.0%	20.6%	40.0%
Corcoran	5	9.8%	0.0%	17.2%	0.0%	0.0%	6	20.7%	14.3%	25.0%	16.7%	0.0%
Crystal	47	8.9%	7.5%	9.2%	8.6%	13.9%	103	17.7%	15.9%	20.6%	14.3%	20.0%
Dayton (Henn Co part)	3	7.7%	0.0%	13.6%	0.0%	0.0%	9	25.0%	29.4%	17.6%	50.0%	0.0%
Deephaven	4	7.5%	0.0%	10.7%	5.9%	0.0%	1	6.7%	0.0%	9.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Eden Prairie	335	27.5%	20.2%	30.9%	25.2%	23.3%	639	29.0%	25.0%	33.3%	30.5%	44.4%
Edina	280	33.8%	22.9%	39.7%	28.6%	32.1%	327	24.1%	14.2%	22.0%	36.4%	46.2%
Excelsior	4	10.5%	7.7%	6.7%	20.0%	0.0%	20	10.3%	7.6%	13.0%	10.7%	20.0%
Fort Snelling (unorganized)	56	12.5%	10.7%	14.8%	8.3%	19.2%	55	13.0%	8.4%	13.5%	16.9%	33.3%
Golden Valley	2	7.1%	0.0%	10.0%	0.0%	0.0%	-	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Greenfield	-	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	-	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Greenwood	2	10.5%	0.0%	0.0%	16.7%	100.0%	6	35.3%	0.0%	27.3%	75.0%	0.0%
Hanover (Henn Co part)	1	20.0%	0.0%	33.3%	0.0%	0.0%	-	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Hassan Township	4	19.0%	0.0%	33.3%	0.0%	0.0%	-	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Hopkins	34	13.1%	7.4%	15.7%	10.3%	23.1%	325	22.7%	20.6%	23.7%	21.5%	57.6%
Independence	1	2.2%	0.0%	3.1%	0.0%	0.0%	1	7.7%	0.0%	11.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Long Lake	3	7.5%	0.0%	8.7%	8.3%	0.0%	7	15.9%	26.7%	8.3%	20.0%	0.0%
Loretto	2	18.2%	0.0%	22.2%	0.0%	0.0%	-	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Maple Grove	368	28.9%	30.2%	29.2%	27.0%	26.5%	256	28.3%	23.1%	31.4%	35.6%	50.0%

**EXCENSUS™ DEMOGRAPHIC CHANGE REPORT - CITY COMPARISON TABLES - HOUSEHOLD RETENTION (2004 to 2007)**

	Retained Owners	Percent of Moves that Start and End in Same City					Retained Renters	Percent of Moves that Start and End in Same City				
		All HHs	Under 35	35 to 54	55 to 74	75+		All HHs	Under 35	35 to 54	55 to 74	75+
<b>Hennepin County (continued)</b>												
Maple Plain	1	7.7%	50.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	8	16.0%	8.0%	25.0%	28.6%	0.0%
Medicine Lake	-	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	-	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Medina	10	17.5%	0.0%	22.9%	6.7%	50.0%	5	25.0%	20.0%	25.0%	33.3%	0.0%
Minneapolis	2,311	35.2%	37.6%	35.7%	29.0%	27.3%	8,613	50.0%	50.7%	49.3%	49.4%	45.3%
Minnnetonka	153	19.1%	10.3%	20.9%	18.2%	27.3%	342	19.8%	16.9%	20.8%	24.3%	34.3%
Minnnetonka Beach	1	11.1%	0.0%	25.0%	0.0%	0.0%	-	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Minnetrista	10	10.6%	13.3%	14.3%	0.0%	0.0%	2	8.7%	0.0%	0.0%	25.0%	0.0%
Mound	30	14.9%	8.2%	16.2%	21.9%	33.3%	52	26.3%	24.7%	26.8%	22.6%	75.0%
New Hope	27	8.1%	6.2%	8.6%	6.8%	18.8%	193	19.1%	19.0%	17.7%	22.4%	25.9%
Orono	21	15.7%	11.8%	14.5%	20.6%	14.3%	8	10.3%	7.7%	14.6%	5.3%	0.0%
Osseo	6	13.0%	14.3%	18.8%	0.0%	14.3%	17	15.3%	8.5%	18.8%	18.2%	40.0%
Plymouth	319	25.6%	20.8%	26.3%	30.0%	17.1%	586	24.8%	21.0%	27.6%	30.1%	46.2%
Richfield	100	14.7%	10.5%	12.1%	12.2%	41.4%	325	22.2%	20.6%	24.5%	24.6%	17.5%
Robbinsdale	26	7.4%	6.0%	8.2%	3.9%	20.0%	51	12.7%	9.6%	14.1%	13.1%	28.6%
Rockford (Henn Co part)	-	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	-	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Rogers	29	20.6%	17.2%	24.6%	15.4%	0.0%	15	22.4%	19.4%	20.8%	42.9%	0.0%
St. Anthony (Henn Co part)	14	15.6%	6.7%	14.0%	15.8%	30.8%	12	19.7%	12.5%	21.1%	22.2%	33.3%
St. Bonifacius	3	5.1%	11.1%	2.8%	0.0%	0.0%	4	14.8%	16.7%	20.0%	0.0%	0.0%
St. Louis Park	151	15.8%	12.1%	16.6%	18.7%	24.4%	581	22.1%	20.1%	24.7%	21.9%	39.5%
Shorewood	14	9.9%	13.3%	9.9%	8.3%	11.1%	5	11.6%	0.0%	11.5%	16.7%	0.0%
Spring Park	1	9.1%	0.0%	0.0%	33.3%	0.0%	19	16.7%	6.8%	17.0%	28.6%	44.4%
Tonka Bay	-	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	-	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Wayzata	9	14.3%	0.0%	10.0%	31.3%	25.0%	41	24.1%	25.4%	20.0%	24.1%	57.1%
Woodland	-	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1	33.3%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Ramsey County</b>												
Arden Hills	21	12.4%	5.7%	16.2%	14.0%	0.0%	5	5.0%	0.0%	8.3%	5.3%	0.0%
Falcon Heights	5	9.6%	0.0%	7.4%	21.4%	0.0%	13	11.5%	8.5%	14.6%	22.2%	0.0%
Gem Lake	-	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	-	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Lauderdale	2	5.9%	6.7%	8.3%	0.0%	0.0%	24	15.9%	16.7%	13.7%	25.0%	0.0%
Little Canada	19	11.9%	5.9%	12.9%	15.2%	11.1%	72	13.9%	7.7%	18.8%	23.5%	46.2%
Maplewood	86	12.5%	8.8%	11.0%	14.9%	27.3%	166	16.6%	13.6%	17.0%	20.1%	32.5%
Mounds View	19	9.3%	8.6%	12.9%	4.4%	0.0%	82	15.2%	12.9%	19.2%	9.7%	50.0%
New Brighton	55	14.2%	7.9%	14.7%	15.5%	27.8%	144	15.6%	13.2%	17.8%	17.3%	27.6%
North Oaks	18	27.3%	0.0%	37.0%	19.4%	40.0%	-	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

**EXCENSUS™ DEMOGRAPHIC CHANGE REPORT - CITY COMPARISON TABLES - HOUSEHOLD RETENTION (2004 to 2007)**

	Retained Owners	Percent of Moves that Start and End in Same City					Retained Renters	Percent of Moves that Start and End in Same City				
		All HHs	Under 35	35 to 54	55 to 74	75+		All HHs	Under 35	35 to 54	55 to 74	75+
<b>Ramsey County (continued)</b>												
North St. Paul	16	7.0%	5.0%	7.1%	9.4%	5.6%	39	11.7%	8.0%	11.4%	25.0%	33.3%
Roseville	176	27.7%	15.4%	23.8%	30.6%	49.4%	222	19.2%	14.5%	22.1%	26.4%	36.5%
St. Anthony (Rams Co part)	4	9.8%	0.0%	14.3%	10.0%	50.0%	31	13.8%	12.8%	10.4%	28.6%	50.0%
St. Paul	1,567	31.0%	30.8%	31.6%	30.0%	29.5%	4,881	47.0%	45.6%	47.3%	52.0%	58.9%
Shoreview	84	14.0%	10.4%	16.5%	11.7%	21.7%	88	18.4%	14.3%	23.9%	18.9%	7.1%
Spring Lake Park (Rams Co part)	-	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	-	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Vadnais Heights	29	9.2%	7.1%	12.2%	7.6%	0.0%	33	13.6%	12.1%	14.8%	23.5%	0.0%
White Bear Township	24	9.0%	4.3%	12.2%	6.6%	0.0%	9	13.4%	0.0%	28.0%	7.7%	14.3%
White Bear Lake (Rams Co part)	87	18.2%	12.5%	18.9%	16.7%	42.9%	214	24.9%	18.6%	28.9%	27.5%	55.2%
<b>Scott County</b>												
Belle Plaine	35	23.0%	24.3%	17.5%	30.0%	40.0%	30	39.0%	32.5%	34.6%	87.5%	33.3%
Belle Plaine Township	1	11.1%	0.0%	16.7%	0.0%	0.0%	-	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Blakeley Township	-	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	-	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Cedar Lake Township	1	3.0%	14.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	-	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Credit River Township	6	10.2%	0.0%	11.1%	15.8%	0.0%	-	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Elko New Market	12	15.8%	17.1%	15.6%	0.0%	0.0%	-	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Helena Township	-	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	-	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Jackson Township	-	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	-	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Jordan	15	20.8%	20.0%	15.6%	33.3%	100.0%	14	16.5%	8.3%	18.9%	22.2%	66.7%
Louisville Township	2	14.3%	0.0%	25.0%	0.0%	0.0%	-	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
New Market Township	4	9.5%	11.1%	10.7%	0.0%	0.0%	1	6.7%	0.0%	14.3%	0.0%	0.0%
New Prague (Scott Co part)	18	30.5%	33.3%	26.1%	40.0%	0.0%	11	23.9%	19.0%	23.8%	33.3%	100.0%
Prior Lake	152	25.6%	22.2%	24.3%	35.1%	36.4%	84	28.5%	24.2%	30.8%	28.6%	100.0%
St. Lawrence Township	-	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	-	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Sand Creek Township	-	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	-	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Savage	136	16.7%	15.8%	18.7%	10.3%	0.0%	62	24.8%	22.9%	21.8%	33.3%	60.0%
Shakopee	292	27.1%	23.2%	28.8%	32.1%	80.0%	290	41.4%	37.2%	44.5%	48.8%	44.4%
Spring Lake Township	9	22.0%	12.5%	20.8%	37.5%	0.0%	1	4.8%	0.0%	6.7%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Washington County</b>												
Afton	4	11.8%	0.0%	17.6%	7.1%	0.0%	-	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Bayport	3	11.5%	0.0%	25.0%	0.0%	0.0%	11	31.4%	38.9%	16.7%	33.3%	50.0%
Baytown Township	-	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	-	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Birchwood Village	3	13.6%	0.0%	25.0%	11.1%	0.0%	-	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

**EXCENSUS™ DEMOGRAPHIC CHANGE REPORT - CITY COMPARISON TABLES - HOUSEHOLD RETENTION (2004 to 2007)**

	Retained Owners	Percent of Moves that Start and End in Same City					Retained Renters	Percent of Moves that Start and End in Same City				
		All HHs	Under 35	35 to 54	55 to 74	75+		All HHs	Under 35	35 to 54	55 to 74	75+
<b>Washington County (continued)</b>												
Cottage Grove	233	30.5%	29.0%	34.4%	21.6%	33.3%	132	34.8%	29.5%	44.1%	17.5%	16.7%
Dellwood	1	4.3%	0.0%	0.0%	9.1%	0.0%	1	16.7%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%
Denmark Township	-	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	-	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Forest Lake	88	33.7%	36.4%	29.3%	41.4%	20.0%	156	42.0%	40.1%	40.0%	54.1%	71.4%
Grant	7	12.3%	0.0%	16.1%	8.7%	0.0%	1	7.7%	0.0%	20.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Grey Cloud Island Township	1	33.3%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	-	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Hugo	21	11.7%	13.0%	8.9%	20.0%	0.0%	23	21.1%	17.2%	25.0%	22.2%	100.0%
Lake Elmo	12	11.0%	18.2%	12.3%	7.7%	0.0%	13	13.7%	5.7%	16.7%	25.0%	0.0%
Lakeland	1	4.0%	0.0%	6.7%	0.0%	0.0%	-	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Lakeland Shores	-	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	-	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Lake St. Croix Beach	2	11.8%	0.0%	18.2%	0.0%	0.0%	-	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Landfall	-	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1	4.0%	0.0%	6.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Mahtomedi	21	14.6%	12.5%	16.9%	10.3%	0.0%	20	23.0%	21.4%	22.7%	0.0%	44.4%
Marine on St. Croix	7	41.2%	0.0%	25.0%	66.7%	100.0%	-	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
May Township	2	8.3%	0.0%	6.7%	20.0%	0.0%	-	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Newport	5	11.9%	0.0%	13.3%	20.0%	25.0%	16	15.4%	20.8%	10.9%	0.0%	50.0%
Oakdale	79	14.1%	12.1%	13.7%	12.3%	45.0%	161	20.7%	17.1%	23.6%	22.5%	38.1%
Oak Park Heights	5	9.4%	0.0%	12.0%	0.0%	40.0%	25	19.8%	7.1%	16.7%	31.6%	47.1%
Pine Springs	-	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	-	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
St. Marys Point	-	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	-	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
St. Paul Park	9	7.4%	10.6%	5.7%	5.9%	0.0%	18	27.3%	28.6%	25.7%	25.0%	50.0%
Scandia	5	14.7%	20.0%	5.3%	30.0%	0.0%	4	16.7%	0.0%	14.3%	0.0%	60.0%
Stillwater	79	26.0%	16.7%	26.1%	30.4%	37.5%	112	27.4%	22.0%	29.4%	31.7%	40.0%
Stillwater Township	4	14.8%	0.0%	23.1%	8.3%	0.0%	-	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
West Lakeland Township	6	15.8%	0.0%	20.0%	11.1%	0.0%	1	20.0%	0.0%	0.0%	33.3%	0.0%
White Bear Lake (Wash Co part)	2	33.3%	0.0%	66.7%	0.0%	0.0%	1	50.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%
Willernie	-	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	2	40.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Woodbury	405	38.0%	30.0%	43.5%	32.4%	23.7%	411	30.4%	25.3%	35.8%	32.3%	33.3%

Source: Excensus LLC, Twin Cities Household Profiles and Mobility Tracking (2004-2007)